

# A MULTIPLICATION OF E-VARIETIES OF REGULAR $E$ -SOLID SEMIGROUPS BY INVERSE SEMIGROUP VARIETIES

MARTIN KUŘIL

ABSTRACT. A multiplication of e-varieties of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups by inverse semigroup varieties is described both semantically and syntactically. The associativity of the multiplication is also proved.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We investigate here an operator on the lattice of all e-varieties of regular semigroups. In [7] we defined semantically a partial multiplication on this lattice:  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}$  is defined if  $\mathcal{U}$  is an e-variety of regular semigroups and  $\mathcal{V}$  is an e-variety of inverse semigroups. The definition is based on a certain semidirect product of regular semigroups by inverse semigroups. In the case that  $\mathcal{U}$  is an e-variety of orthodox semigroups we also described our multiplication syntactically in terms of biinvariant congruences for orthodox semigroups introduced in [5] by Kadourek and Szendrei.

In this paper we present a syntactical description of our multiplication in the case that the first factor is an e-variety of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups. The description is essentially based on the notion of biinvariant congruences for regular  $E$ -solid semigroups given in [6] by Kadourek and Szendrei. Moreover, we prove the associativity:  $\mathcal{U} \square (\mathcal{V} \square \mathcal{W}) = (\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}) \square \mathcal{W}$  for any e-variety  $\mathcal{U}$  of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups and any inverse semigroup varieties  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}$ .

For basic notions in the theory of semigroups the reader is referred to [4].

## 2. SEMANTICS

Let  $S = (S, \cdot)$  be a semigroup. The set of all endomorphisms of  $S$  is denoted by  $\text{End}(S)$ . Let  $E(S)$  stand for the set of all idempotents of  $S$ . Denote by  $C(S)$  the subsemigroup of  $S$  generated by  $E(S)$  provided that  $E(S) \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly, for

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20M07, 20M17.

*Key words and phrases*: regular semigroup, inverse semigroup, e-variety, biinvariant congruence, bifree object.

Received January 19, 1996.

The author acknowledges the support of the Grant no. 201/93/2121 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

any element  $a \in S$ , there is at most one element  $b \in S$  satisfying  $aba = a, bab = b, ab = ba$ . If such an element  $b$  really exists then we denote it by  $a^{-1}$ .

In [7] we used the following non-standard semidirect product of semigroups:

Let  $T = (T, \cdot)$  be an inverse semigroup. For  $a \in T$ , the unique inverse of  $a$  is denoted by  $a'$ . Let  $\varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ)$ , where  $\circ$  is the composition  $(\alpha \circ \beta)(s) = \alpha(\beta(s))$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}(S), s \in S$ ), be a homomorphism.

Put  $S \times_{\varphi} T = \{(s, t) \in S \times T \mid \varphi(tt')(s) = s\}$  and define

$$(s, t) \cdot (u, v) = (\varphi(tvv't')(s) \cdot \varphi(t)(u), t \cdot v)$$

for  $(s, t), (u, v) \in S \times_{\varphi} T$ .

**2.1 Result.** ([7], 2.1 Lemma, 2.2 Lemma)

- (i)  $(S \times_{\varphi} T, \cdot)$  is a semigroup
- (ii) If  $S$  is regular, then  $S \times_{\varphi} T$  is also regular.

Notice that this non-standard semidirect product of semigroups is in essence the so called  $\lambda$ -semidirect product of inverse semigroups introduced by Billhardt in [1].

**2.2 Result.** ([7], 2.3 Lemma) Let  $(s, t) \in S \times_{\varphi} T$ . Then  $(s, t)$  is an idempotent in  $S \times_{\varphi} T$  if and only if  $s \in E(S)$  and  $t \in E(T)$ .

**2.3 Lemma.** Let  $(s, t) \in S \times_{\varphi} T$ . Then  $(s, t) \in C(S \times_{\varphi} T)$  if and only if  $s \in C(S)$  and  $t \in E(T)$ .

**Proof.**

1. Let  $(s, t) \in C(S \times_{\varphi} T)$ . Then  $(s, t) = (e_1, f_1) \dots (e_k, f_k)$  for some  $(e_1, f_1), \dots, (e_k, f_k) \in E(S \times_{\varphi} T)$ . We know that  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in E(S)$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in E(T)$  (see 2.2). Put  $(u_i, v_i) = (e_1, f_1) \dots (e_i, f_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). We will show that  $u_i \in C(S)$  and  $v_i \in E(T)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Clearly,  $e_1 \in C(S), f_1 \in E(T)$ . Let  $1 < i \leq k$  and  $u_{i-1} \in C(S), v_{i-1} \in E(T)$ . We have  $\varphi(v_{i-1}f_i f'_i v'_{i-1})(u_{i-1}) \in C(S)$ , since  $\varphi(v_{i-1}f_i f'_i v'_{i-1}) \in \text{End}(S)$ . Further,  $\varphi(v_{i-1})(e_i) \in E(S)$ . We see that  $u_i = \varphi(v_{i-1}f_i f'_i v'_{i-1})(u_{i-1}) \cdot \varphi(v_{i-1})(e_i) \in C(S)$ . Finally,  $v_i = v_{i-1} \cdot f_i \in E(T)$ .
2. Let  $s \in C(S)$  and  $t \in E(T)$ . Then  $s = e_1 \dots e_k$  for some  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in E(S)$ . Put  $f_i = \varphi(tt')(e_i)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Clearly,  $f_i \in E(S)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and  $s = f_1 \dots f_k$ . Further,  $(f_i, t) \in S \times_{\varphi} T$ , since  $\varphi(tt')(f_i) = f_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Using 2.2 we obtain  $(f_i, t) \in E(S \times_{\varphi} T)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). We will prove that  $(f_1, t) \dots (f_i, t) = (f_1 \dots f_i, t)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Let  $1 < i \leq k$ . Then
 
$$\begin{aligned} (f_1, t) \dots (f_{i-1}, t)(f_i, t) &= (f_1 \dots f_{i-1}, t)(f_i, t) \\ &= (\varphi(ttt't')(f_1 \dots f_{i-1}) \cdot \varphi(t)(f_i), t^2) \\ &= (\varphi(tt')(f_1 \dots f_{i-1}) \cdot \varphi(tt')(f_i), t) \\ &= (f_1 \dots f_i, t). \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = k$  we get  $(s, t) = (f_1 \dots f_k, t) = (f_1, t) \dots (f_k, t) \in C(S \times_{\varphi} T)$ . □

A semigroup  $S$  is called regular  $E$ -solid if it is regular and  $C(S)$  is completely regular.

**2.4 Lemma.** *If  $S$  is regular  $E$ -solid, then  $S \times_{\varphi} T$  is also regular  $E$ -solid.*

**Proof.** We know that  $S \times_{\varphi} T$  is regular (see 2.1(ii)). We have to show that  $C(S \times_{\varphi} T)$  is completely regular. Let  $(s, t) \in C(S \times_{\varphi} T)$ . Then  $s \in C(S), t \in E(T)$ , by 2.3. Since  $S$  is regular  $E$ -solid, there exists  $a \in C(S)$  such that  $sas = s, asa = a, sa = as$ . Put  $b = \varphi(tt')(a)$ . Then  $b \in C(S)$  and  $sbs = s, bsb = b, sb = bs$ . Clearly,  $(b, t) \in S \times_{\varphi} T$ . Using 2.3 we obtain  $(b, t) \in C(S \times_{\varphi} T)$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} (s, t)(b, t)(s, t) &= (\varphi(ttt't')(s) \cdot \varphi(t)(b), t^2)(s, t) = (sb, t)(s, t) \\ &= (\varphi(ttt't')(sb) \cdot \varphi(t)(s), t^2) = (sbs, t) = (s, t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $(b, t)(s, t)(b, t) = (b, t)$  and  $(s, t)(b, t) = (b, t)(s, t)$ .  $\square$

For any class  $\mathcal{V}$  of regular semigroups, we will denote by  $H(\mathcal{V}), S_r(\mathcal{V})$  and  $P(\mathcal{V})$ , respectively, the classes of all homomorphic images, regular subsemigroups and direct products of semigroups in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

We adopt the following notations for classes of regular semigroups:

**R** — the class of all regular semigroups;

**ES** — the class of all regular  $E$ -solid semigroups;

**I** — the class of all inverse semigroups.

A class  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  satisfying  $H(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}, S_r(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  and  $P(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  is called an e-variety. The classes **R**, **ES**, **I** are examples of e-varieties. The concept of e-variety was introduced by Hall in [3]. Simultaneously and independently Kadourek and Szendrei in [5] have considered e-varieties of orthodox semigroups, which they called bivarieties of orthodox semigroups.

Denote by  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  the least e-variety of regular semigroups containing the class  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be e-varieties. In [7] we defined a multiplication  $\square$  in the following way:

$$\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V} = \{ \{ S \times_{\varphi} T \mid S \in \mathcal{U}, T \in \mathcal{V}, \varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ) \text{ is a homomorphism} \} \}.$$

**2.5 Result.** ([7], 2.5 Lemma) *Let  $I \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $S_i$  be a semigroup for  $i \in I$ . Let  $T_i$  be an inverse semigroup for  $i \in I$ . Finally, let  $\varphi_i : (T_i, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S_i), \circ)$  be a homomorphism for  $i \in I$ . Then*

$$\prod_{i \in I} (S_i \times_{\varphi_i} T_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} S_i \times_{\varphi} \prod_{i \in I} T_i,$$

where the homomorphism

$$\varphi : \left( \prod_{i \in I} T_i, \cdot \right) \rightarrow \left( \text{End} \left( \prod_{i \in I} S_i \right), \circ \right)$$

is given by

$$\varphi((t_i)_{i \in I})((s_i)_{i \in I}) = (\varphi_i(t_i)(s_i))_{i \in I}.$$

The isomorphism is given by

$$((s_i, t_i))_{i \in I} \mapsto ((s_i)_{i \in I}, (t_i)_{i \in I}).$$

**2.6 Result.** ([6], Proposition 2.3) *Let  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$ . Then*

- (i)  $S_r H(\mathcal{V}) \subseteq H S_r(\mathcal{V})$
- (ii)  $\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle = H S_r P(\mathcal{V})$ .

**2.7 Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be  $e$ -varieties. Then*

$$\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V} = H S_r(\{S \times_{\varphi} T \mid S \in \mathcal{U}, T \in \mathcal{V}, \\ \varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ) \text{ is a homomorphism}\}).$$

**Proof.** Put  $\mathcal{W} = \{S \times_{\varphi} T \mid S \in \mathcal{U}, T \in \mathcal{V}, \varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ) \text{ is a homomorphism}\}$ . It follows from 2.4 that  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$ . Then  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V} = H S_r P(\mathcal{W})$  by 2.6(ii). It is clear that  $H S_r(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq H S_r P(\mathcal{W})$ . Further,  $P(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq H(\mathcal{W})$ , by 2.5. Then  $H S_r P(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq H S_r H(\mathcal{W})$ . This together with 2.6(i) gives  $H S_r P(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq H S_r(\mathcal{W})$ .  $\square$

### 3. SYNTAX

Recall the notions of biidentities and biinvariant congruences in the class of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups introduced by Kadřourek and Szendrei in [6].

A unary semigroup is an algebra  $S = (S, \cdot, ')$  with an associative multiplication and with a unary operation  $'$ .

Let  $Y$  be a non-empty set. We add new symbols  $($  and  $)'$  to the set  $Y$  and obtain a set  $Y_0 = Y \cup \{(\cdot)'\}$ . Let us denote the free semigroup on the alphabet  $A$  by  $A^+$ . Let  $U(Y)$  be the smallest one among the subsets  $T$  in  $Y_0^+$  which satisfy

- (i)  $Y \subseteq T$
- (ii)  $u, v \in T$  implies  $uv \in T$
- (iii)  $u \in T$  implies  $(u)' \in T$ .

The set  $U(Y)$  will be often considered as a unary semigroup with a binary operation given by the concatenation of words and with a unary operation  $' : U(Y) \rightarrow U(Y)$  given by  $u \mapsto (u)'$ . The unary semigroup  $U(Y)$  is the free unary semigroup on the set  $Y$ .

In order to reduce the number of brackets in formulas, we will omit them if it causes no confusion. For example, we will often write  $u'$  instead of  $(u)'$ .

Consider a set  $Y'$  disjoint from  $Y$  and a bijection  $' : Y \rightarrow Y', y \mapsto y'$ . The union  $Y \cup Y'$  will be denoted by  $\overline{Y}$ . For any  $y \in Y$ , we will identify  $(y)'$  with  $y'$ , and so  $\overline{Y}$  becomes a subset in  $U(Y)$ .

If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup and  $a \in S$  then the unique inverse of  $a$  is denoted by  $a'$ . In this way a unary operation  $'$  on  $S$  is given and the inverse semigroup  $S = (S, \cdot)$  can be considered as a unary semigroup  $S = (S, \cdot, ')$ . Moreover, this unary semigroup satisfies the identities

- (id 1)  $(x')' = x$
- (id 2)  $(xy)' = y'x'$
- (id 3)  $xx'x = x$
- (id 4)  $xx'yy' = yy'xx'$ .

Conversely, if  $S = (S, \cdot, ')$  is a unary semigroup satisfying the identities (id 1) — (id 4) then  $S = (S, \cdot)$  is an inverse semigroup and the unique inverse of  $a \in S$  is the element  $a'$ . Speaking about varieties of inverse semigroups we have in mind varieties of unary semigroups satisfying the identities (id 1) — (id 4).

In fact, the e-varieties contained in **I** are precisely the varieties of inverse semigroups. We can use the terms 'variety' and 'e-variety' interchangeably in this context.

Given an infinite set  $Y$ , we will denote by  $\gamma(Y)$  and  $\iota(Y)$ , respectively, the fully invariant congruences on  $U(Y)$  corresponding to the varieties of all groups and all inverse semigroups.  $1(Y)$  stands for the identity element of the group  $U(Y)/\gamma(Y)$ .

**3.1 Lemma.** *Let  $u \in U(Y)$ . Then  $u\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$  if and only if  $u^2 \iota(Y) u$ .*

**Proof.**

1. Let  $u\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$ . In view of the well-known solution of the word problem for free groups it suffices to show the following facts:

- (a)  $(vw)^2 \iota(Y) vw \Rightarrow (vzz'w)^2 \iota(Y) vzz'w$ ,  $(v, w \in U(Y), z \in \overline{Y})$ ;
- (b)  $v^2 \iota(Y) v \Rightarrow (vzz')^2 \iota(Y) vzz'$ ,  $(v \in U(Y), z \in \overline{Y})$ ;
- (c)  $w^2 \iota(Y) w \Rightarrow (zz'w)^2 \iota(Y) zz'w$ ,  $(w \in U(Y), z \in \overline{Y})$ ;

Now the proofs follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \underline{vzz'wvzz'w} \iota(Y) \underline{vv'vzz'wvzz'ww'w} \\ & \iota(Y) \underline{vzz'v'vwvww'zz'w} \\ & \iota(Y) \underline{vzz'v'vw'zz'w} \\ & \iota(Y) \underline{vv'vzz'zz'ww'w} \\ & \iota(Y) vzz'w; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad & \underline{vzz'vzz'v} \iota(Y) \underline{vv'vzz'vzz'} \\ & \iota(Y) \underline{vzz'v'vvzz'} \\ & \iota(Y) \underline{vzz'v'vzz'} \\ & \iota(Y) \underline{vv'vzz'zz'} \\ & \iota(Y) vzz'. \end{aligned}$$

(c) It is similar to the case (b).

2. Let  $u^2 \iota(Y) u$ . It is clear that  $u\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$ . □

Let  $U_r(Y)$  be the smallest one among the subsets  $T$  in  $U(Y)$  which satisfy

- (i)  $\overline{Y} \subseteq T$
- (ii)  $u, v \in T$  implies  $uv \in T$
- (iii)  $u \in T$  and  $u\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$  implies  $u' \in T$ .

The set  $U_r(Y)$  will be often considered as a semigroup with an operation given by the concatenation of words. In fact, the semigroup  $U_r(Y)$  agrees with the semigroup  $F'^{\infty}(Y)$  from [6]. There is only an unessential technical difference between  $U_r(Y)$  and  $F'^{\infty}(Y)$ . In [6],  $U'(Y)$  stands for the free unary semigroup on the set  $\overline{Y}$ . The unary operation is denoted by  $^{-1}$  in  $U'(Y)$  and  $F'^{\infty}(Y)$  is

the smallest subsemigroup in  $U'(Y)$  containing the set  $\overline{Y}$  and closed under the partial operation assigning the word  $(u)^{-1}$  to any word  $u$  with  $r(u) = 1$  (see [6], Section 2, for the definition of  $r(u)$ ). If we consider the unary homomorphism  $\eta : U'(Y) \rightarrow U(Y)$  extending the mapping  $y \mapsto y, y' \mapsto y' = (y)'$  ( $y \in Y$ ) then, for any  $u \in U'(Y)$ , the condition  $r(u) = 1$  is equivalent to  $\eta(u)\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$  and the restriction of  $\eta$  to  $F'^{\infty}(Y)$  is an isomorphism between  $F'^{\infty}(Y)$  and  $U_r(Y)$ .

If  $(S, \cdot)$  is a regular semigroup, then a mapping  $\vartheta : \overline{Y} \rightarrow S$  is called matched if  $\vartheta(y) \cdot \vartheta(y') \cdot \vartheta(y) = \vartheta(y)$  and  $\vartheta(y') \cdot \vartheta(y) \cdot \vartheta(y') = \vartheta(y')$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

To any matched mapping  $\vartheta : \overline{Y} \rightarrow S$ , where  $S$  is a regular  $E$ -solid semigroup, we now define a homomorphism  $\theta : U_r(Y) \rightarrow S$  as follows. We proceed by induction with respect to the complexity of words from  $U_r(Y)$ , and we put

- (i)  $\theta(z) = \vartheta(z)$  ( $z \in \overline{Y}$ )
- (ii)  $\theta(uv) = \theta(u)\theta(v)$  ( $u, v \in U_r(Y)$ )
- (iii)  $\theta(u') = (\theta(u))^{-1}$  ( $u \in U_r(Y), u\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$ ),

where  $(\theta(u))^{-1}$  denotes the group inverse of  $\theta(u)$  in the maximal subgroup of  $S$  containing  $\theta(u)$ . Of course, we must show that this  $\theta(u)$  really lies in a subgroup of  $S$ . This will be the content of the next result. We will then see that  $\theta$  is well defined and we will call the homomorphism  $\theta$  the extension of the matched mapping  $\vartheta : \overline{Y} \rightarrow S$  to  $U_r(Y)$ .

**3.2 Result.** ([6], Lemma 2.1) *The above definition is correct, that is, for any  $u \in U_r(Y)$  with  $u\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$ , the element  $\theta(u)$  lies in a subgroup of  $S$ , provided that  $S$  is a regular  $E$ -solid semigroup.*

By a biidentity over  $Y$  we will mean any pair  $u \doteq v$  of words  $u, v \in U_r(Y)$ . We will say that a biidentity  $u \doteq v$  is satisfied in a regular  $E$ -solid semigroup  $S$  if, for any matched mapping  $\vartheta : \overline{Y} \rightarrow S$ , we have  $\theta(u) = \theta(v)$  where  $\theta : U_r(Y) \rightarrow S$  is the extension of  $\vartheta$  to  $U_r(Y)$ . As usual, we will say that a biidentity is satisfied in a class  $\mathcal{V}$  of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups if it is satisfied in each member of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Given a class  $\mathcal{V}$  of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups, put

$$\varrho(\mathcal{V}, Y) = \{(u, v) \in U_r(Y) \times U_r(Y) \mid \text{the biidentity } u \doteq v \text{ is satisfied in } \mathcal{V}\}.$$

For any set  $\Sigma \subseteq U_r(Y) \times U_r(Y)$  of biidentities, put

$$[\Sigma] = \{S \in \mathbf{ES} \mid S \text{ satisfies all biidentities in } \Sigma\}.$$

We will write  $u(y_1, y'_1, \dots, y_n, y'_n)$  to indicate that only elements  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in Y, y'_1, \dots, y'_n \in Y'$  may occur in  $u \in U_r(Y)$ . If  $u = u(y_1, y'_1, \dots, y_n, y'_n) \in U_r(Y)$  and  $p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n \in U_r(Y)$  then  $u(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n)$  is obtained by substituting  $p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n$  into  $u$  for  $y_1, y'_1, \dots, y_n, y'_n$  respectively. It is clear that  $u(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n) \in U_r(Y)$ . It is easy to see that if  $(p_i q_i)\gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) then  $u(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n) \in U_r(Y)$ .

A congruence  $\rho$  on  $U_r(Y)$  will be called biinvariant if  $\varrho(\mathbf{ES}, Y) \subseteq \rho$  and it has the following property: whenever  $u, v, p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n \in U_r(Y)$  such that

$$u(y_1, y'_1, \dots, y_n, y'_n) \rho v(y_1, y'_1, \dots, y_n, y'_n)$$

and

$$p_i q_i p_i \varrho(\mathbf{ES}, Y) p_i, q_i p_i q_i \varrho(\mathbf{ES}, Y) q_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

then also

$$u(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n) \rho v(p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n).$$

Observe that the second assumption implies  $(p_i q_i) \gamma(Y) = 1(Y)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , as the class of all groups is contained in  $\mathbf{ES}$ , so that this definition is correct.

The set of all fully invariant congruences on the unary semigroup  $U(Y)$  will be denoted by  $FICU(Y)$  and the set of all biinvariant congruences on the semigroup  $U_r(Y)$  will be denoted by  $BICU_r(Y)$ .

Now, we can present the syntax of our multiplication.

Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  be a set of variables. Given  $\rho \in FICU(X)$ , define a new alphabet  $X_\rho = U(X)/\rho \times X$ .

Define a left action  $*$  of  $U(X)$  on  $U(X_\rho)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} u * (v\rho, x) &= (uv\rho, x) \\ u * ab &= (u * a)(u * b) \\ u * a' &= (u * a)' \end{aligned}$$

for  $u, v \in U(X), x \in X, a, b \in U(X_\rho)$ .

We will frequently use the following lemma without references.

**3.3 Lemma.** *Let  $\rho \in FICU(X), u, v \in U(X)$ . Then*

- (i)  $u \rho v$  implies  $u * a = v * a$  for all  $a \in U(X_\rho)$
- (ii)  $u * (v * a) = (uv) * a$  for all  $a \in U(X_\rho)$ .

**Proof.** The assertions are clear. □

**3.4 Lemma.** *Let  $\rho \in FICU(X), u \in U(X)$ . If  $a \in U_r(X_\rho)$ , then  $u * a \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .*

**Proof.** By induction with respect to  $a$ . Let  $v \in U(X), x \in X$ . Then  $u * (v\rho, x) = (uv\rho, x) \in U_r(X_\rho), u * (v\rho, x)' = (uv\rho, x)' \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .

Let  $b, c \in U_r(X_\rho), u * b, u * c \in U_r(X_\rho)$ . Then  $u * bc = (u * b)(u * c) \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .

Let  $b \in U_r(X_\rho), b\gamma(X_\rho) = 1(X_\rho), u * b \in U_r(X_\rho)$ . Then  $u * b' = (u * b)' \in U_r(X_\rho)$ , since  $(u * b)\gamma(X_\rho) = 1(X_\rho) (\gamma(X_\rho) \in FICU(X_\rho)$  and  $c \mapsto u * c$  is an endomorphism on  $U(X_\rho)$ ). □

Now, let  $\rho \in FICU(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X)$ . Define

$$\pi_\rho : U(X) \rightarrow U(X_\rho)$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(x) &= (xx'\rho, x) \\ \pi_\rho(uv) &= (uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v)) \\ \pi_\rho(u') &= u' * (\pi_\rho(u))' \end{aligned}$$

where  $x \in X, u, v \in U(X)$ .

**3.5 Remark.** The mapping  $\pi_\rho$  is defined unambiguously:

Let  $u, v, w \in U(X)$ . Suppose that the values  $\pi_\rho(u), \pi_\rho(v), \pi_\rho(w), \pi_\rho(uv)$  and  $\pi_\rho(vw)$  are determined unambiguously. We show that  $\pi_\rho((uv)w) = \pi_\rho(u(vw))$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_\rho((uv)w) &= ((uv)w)' * \pi_\rho(uv) \ (uv * \pi_\rho(w)) \\
 &= (uvw'v'u' * ((uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v)))) \ (uv * \pi_\rho(w)) \\
 &= (uvw'v'u'uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u)) \ (uvw'v'u'u * \pi_\rho(v)) \ (uv * \pi_\rho(w)) \\
 &= (uvw'v'u' * \pi_\rho(u)) \ (\underline{uu'u}vvw'v' * \pi_\rho(v)) \ (uv * \pi_\rho(w)) \\
 &= (uvw'v'u' * \pi_\rho(u)) \ (uvw'v' * \pi_\rho(v)) \ (uv * \pi_\rho(w)), \\
 \pi_\rho(u(vw)) &= (u(vw)(vw)')' * \pi_\rho(u) \ (u * \pi_\rho(vw)) \\
 &= (uvw'v'u' * \pi_\rho(u)) \ (u * ((vw'v' * \pi_\rho(v))(v * \pi_\rho(w)))) \\
 &= (uvw'v'u' * \pi_\rho(u)) \ (uvw'v' * \pi_\rho(v)) \ (uv * \pi_\rho(w)).
 \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma will be also often used without references.

**3.6 Lemma.** Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), u \in U(X)$ . Then  $uu' * \pi_\rho(u) = \pi_\rho(u)$ .

**Proof.** By induction with respect to  $u$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 xx' * \pi_\rho(x) &= xx' * (xx'\rho, x) \\
 &= (xx'xx'\rho, x) \\
 &= (xx'\rho, x) \\
 &= \pi_\rho(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u, v \in U(X), vv' * \pi_\rho(v) = \pi_\rho(v)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 uv(uv)' * \pi_\rho(uv) &= uvv'u' * ((uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v))) \\
 &= (uvv'u'uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(\underline{uvv'u'u} * \pi_\rho(v)) \\
 &= (uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(\underline{uu'u}vv' * \pi_\rho(v)) \\
 &= (uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * (vv' * \pi_\rho(v))) \\
 &= (uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v)) \\
 &= \pi_\rho(uv).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u \in U(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 u'(u')' * \pi_\rho(u') &= u'u * (u' * (\pi_\rho(u))') \\
 &= u'uu' * (\pi_\rho(u))' \\
 &= u' * (\pi_\rho(u))' \\
 &= \pi_\rho(u').
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**3.7 Lemma.** Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), u, v \in U(X)$ . If  $u \iota(X) v$ , then  $\pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v)$ .

**Proof.** Having in mind that the variety **I** is the class of all unary semigroups satisfying the identities (id 1) — (id 4) we prove the lemma in the following seven steps.

$$\begin{aligned}
 1. \quad &\pi_\rho((u')') \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(u) \ (u \in U(X)) \\
 &\pi_\rho((u')') = (u')' * (\pi_\rho(u'))' \\
 &= u * (u' * (\pi_\rho(u))')' \\
 &= ((uu' * \pi_\rho(u))')' \\
 &= ((\pi_\rho(u))')' \\
 &= \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(u).
 \end{aligned}$$



2.  $\pi_\rho((uv)') \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v'u') \quad (u, v \in U(X))$   

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho((uv)') &= (uv)' * (\pi_\rho(uv))' \\ &= v'u' * ((uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v)))' \\ &= ((v'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(v'u'u * \pi_\rho(v)))' \\ &\iota(X_\rho) (v'u'u * \pi_\rho(v))' (v'u' * \pi_\rho(u))' \\ &= (v'u'uvv' * \pi_\rho(v))' (v'u' * \pi_\rho(u))' \\ &= (v'u'uv * (v' * (\pi_\rho(v))'))' (v' * (u' * (\pi_\rho(u))'))' \\ &= (v'u'(u')'(v')' * \pi_\rho(v'))' (v' * \pi_\rho(u'))' \\ &= \pi_\rho(v'u'). \end{aligned}$$
3.  $\pi_\rho(uu'u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(u) \quad (u \in U(X))$   

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(uu'u) &= (u(u'u)(u'u)'u' * \pi_\rho(u)) (u * \pi_\rho(u'u)) \\ &= (uu'u'u'u' * \pi_\rho(u)) (uu'u'u'(u')' * \pi_\rho(u')) (uu' * \pi_\rho(u)) \\ &= \pi_\rho(u)(uu'u'u'u' * \pi_\rho(u))' \pi_\rho(u) \\ &= \pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))' \pi_\rho(u) \\ &\iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(u). \end{aligned}$$
4.  $\pi_\rho(uu'vv') \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(vv'u'u') \quad (u, v \in U(X))$   
 Let  $w \in U(X)$ . Then  

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(ww') &= (ww'(w')'w' * \pi_\rho(w))(w * \pi_\rho(w')) \\ &= (ww'ww' * \pi_\rho(w))(ww' * \pi_\rho(w))' \\ &= \pi_\rho(w)(\pi_\rho(w))'. \end{aligned}$$
  
 Further,  

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(uu'vv') &= (uu'vv'(vv')'(uu')' * \pi_\rho(uu'))(uu' * \pi_\rho(vv')) \\ &= (uu'vv'vv'u'u' * \pi_\rho(uu'))(uu'vv'(vv')' * \pi_\rho(vv')) \\ &= (uu'vv' * \pi_\rho(uu'))(uu'vv' * \pi_\rho(vv')) \\ &= uu'vv' * \pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))' \pi_\rho(v)(\pi_\rho(v))', \\ \pi_\rho(vv'u'u') &= vv'u'u' * \pi_\rho(v)(\pi_\rho(v))' \pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))' \\ &= uu'vv' * \pi_\rho(v)(\pi_\rho(v))' \pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))' \\ &\iota(X_\rho) uu'vv' * \pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))' \pi_\rho(v)(\pi_\rho(v))' \\ &= \pi_\rho(uu'vv'). \end{aligned}$$
5.  $u \iota(X) v$  and  $\pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v)$  implies  $\pi_\rho(wu) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(wv) \quad (u, v, w \in U(X))$   

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(wu) &= (wuu'u' * \pi_\rho(w))(w * \pi_\rho(u)) \\ \pi_\rho(wv) &= (wvv'v' * \pi_\rho(w))(w * \pi_\rho(v)) \end{aligned}$$
  
 Since  $u \iota(X) v$ , we have  $wuu'u' * \pi_\rho(w) = wvv'v' * \pi_\rho(w)$ .  
 Since  $\pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v)$ , we have  $w * \pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) w * \pi_\rho(v)$ .  
 So,  $\pi_\rho(wu) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(wv)$ .
6.  $u \iota(X) v$  and  $\pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v)$  implies  $\pi_\rho(uw) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(vw) \quad (u, v, w \in U(X))$   

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(uw) &= (uww'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(w)) \\ \pi_\rho(vw) &= (vww'v' * \pi_\rho(v))(v * \pi_\rho(w)) \end{aligned}$$
  
 Since  $\pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v)$ , we have  $uww'u' * \pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) vww'v' * \pi_\rho(v)$ . Further, from  $u \iota(X) v$  we get  $uww'u' * \pi_\rho(v) = vww'v' * \pi_\rho(v)$ . So,  $uww'u' * \pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) vww'v' * \pi_\rho(v)$ . Finally,  $u * \pi_\rho(w) = v * \pi_\rho(w)$ , since  $u \iota(X) v$ . Now, we see that  $\pi_\rho(uw) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(vw)$ .

7.  $u \iota(X) v$  and  $\pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v)$  implies  $\pi_\rho(u') \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v')$  ( $u, v \in U(X)$ )  
 $\pi_\rho(u') = u' * (\pi_\rho(u))'$   
 $\pi_\rho(v') = v' * (\pi_\rho(v))'$   
 Since  $u \iota(X) v$ , we get  $u' \iota(X) v'$  and then  $u' * (\pi_\rho(u))' = v' * (\pi_\rho(u))'$ . Since  $\pi_\rho(u) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v)$ , we get  $(\pi_\rho(u))' \iota(X_\rho) (\pi_\rho(v))'$  and  $v' * (\pi_\rho(u))' \iota(X_\rho) v' * (\pi_\rho(v))'$ . So,  $\pi_\rho(u') \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(v')$ .  $\square$

**3.8 Corollary.** Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), u \in U(X)$ . If  $u\gamma(X) = 1(X)$ , then  $\pi_\rho(u)\gamma(X_\rho) = 1(X_\rho)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u \in U(X), u\gamma(X) = 1(X)$ . We know that  $u^2 \iota(X) u$  (see 3.1). From 3.7 we get  $\pi_\rho(u^2) \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(u)$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(u^2) &= (uuu'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(u)) \\ &= (uu' * \pi_\rho(u))(uuu' * \pi_\rho(u)) \\ &= (uu' * \pi_\rho(u))(uu' * \pi_\rho(u)) \\ &= (\pi_\rho(u))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(\pi_\rho(u))^2 \iota(X_\rho) \pi_\rho(u), \pi_\rho(u)\gamma(X_\rho) = 1(X_\rho)$ .  $\square$

**3.9 Corollary.** Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), u \in U(X)$ . If  $u \in U_r(X)$ , then  $\pi_\rho(u) \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .

**Proof.** By induction with respect to  $u$ . Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $\pi_\rho(x) = (xx'\rho, x) \in U_r(X_\rho)$ ,

$$\pi_\rho(x') = x' * (xx'\rho, x)' = (x'xx'\rho, x)' = (x'\rho, x)' \in U_r(X_\rho).$$

Let  $u, v \in U_r(X), \pi_\rho(u), \pi_\rho(v) \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .

$$\pi_\rho(uv) = (uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v))$$

We know that  $uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u) \in U_r(X_\rho), u * \pi_\rho(v) \in U_r(X_\rho)$  (see 3.4). Thus,  $\pi_\rho(uv) \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .

Let  $u \in U_r(X), u\gamma(X) = 1(X), \pi_\rho(u) \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .

$$\pi_\rho(u') = u' * (\pi_\rho(u))'$$

We know that  $\pi_\rho(u)\gamma(X_\rho) = 1(X_\rho)$  (see 3.8). Then  $(\pi_\rho(u))' \in U_r(X_\rho)$ . Using 3.4 we obtain  $\pi_\rho(u') \in U_r(X_\rho)$ .  $\square$

Now, let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), \sigma \in BIC\ U_r(X_\rho)$ . Define

$$\sigma \square \rho \subseteq U_r(X) \times U_r(X)$$

by

$$u (\sigma \square \rho) v \iff u \rho v \text{ and } \pi_\rho(u) \sigma \pi_\rho(v)$$

$(u, v \in U_r(X))$ .

The correctness of the definition is based on 3.9.

**3.10 Remark.** If  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), \sigma \in BIC\ U_r(X_\rho)$ , then  $\sigma \square \rho \in BIC\ U_r(X)$ . We will prove it in 4.10.

## 4. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS

**4.1 Result.** ([6], Corollary 2.11) *For any infinite set  $Y$ , the rules*

$$\mathcal{V} \mapsto \varrho(\mathcal{V}, Y) \text{ and } \rho \mapsto [\rho]$$

*define mutually inverse order-reversing bijections between all e-varieties of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups and all biinvariant congruences on  $U_r(Y)$ .*

We will denote the one-to-one correspondence from 4.1 simply by the symbol  $\leftrightarrow$ . Since it causes no confusion, we will use the symbol  $\leftrightarrow$  also for the well-known one-to-one correspondence between all varieties of unary semigroups and all fully invariant congruences on the free unary semigroup  $U(Y)$ .

Now, we recall the notion of a bifree object. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a class of regular semigroups. By a bifree object in  $\mathcal{V}$  on a non-empty set  $Y$ , we mean a pair  $(S, \iota)$  where  $S \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\iota : \overline{Y} \rightarrow S$  is a matched mapping such that the following universal property is satisfied: for any semigroup  $T \in \mathcal{V}$  and any matched mapping  $\vartheta : \overline{Y} \rightarrow T$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\psi : S \rightarrow T$  such that  $\psi \circ \iota = \vartheta$ . In cases when the mapping  $\iota$  is obvious, we omit it and we term simply  $S$  to be a bifree object in  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $Y$ . Note that in any class of regular semigroups, there exists, up to isomorphism, at most one bifree object on any non-empty set.

**4.2 Result.** ([6], Theorem 2.5) *If  $Y$  is an infinite set and  $\mathcal{V}$  is a class of regular  $E$ -solid semigroups closed under taking regular subsemigroups and direct products then  $U_r(Y)/\varrho(\mathcal{V}, Y)$  is a bifree object in  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $Y$ .*

**4.3 Lemma.** *Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \sigma \in BIC\ U_r(X_\rho)$ . Then the mapping*

$$\varphi : U(X)/\rho \rightarrow (\text{End}(U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma), \circ)$$

*given by*

$$\varphi(u\rho)(a\sigma) = (u * a)\sigma \ (u \in U(X), a \in U_r(X_\rho))$$

*is a correctly defined homomorphism.*

**Proof.**

1. correctness of the definition:

It follows from 3.4 that  $u \in U(X)$  and  $a \in U_r(X_\rho)$  implies  $u * a \in U_r(X_\rho)$ . Now, let  $u, v \in U(X), a, b \in U_r(X_\rho), u\rho v, a\sigma b$ . We will show that  $u * a\sigma v * b$ . We have  $u * a = v * a$ . Since  $\sigma \in BIC\ U_r(X_\rho)$ , we get  $v * a\sigma v * b$ . So,  $u * a\sigma v * b$ .

2.  $\varphi(u\rho) : U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \rightarrow U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma$  is an endomorphism (for any  $u \in U(X)$ ):

Let  $a, b \in U_r(X_\rho)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u\rho)((a\sigma)(b\sigma)) &= \varphi(u\rho)(ab\sigma) = (u * (ab))\sigma = (u * a)(u * b)\sigma \\ &= ((u * a)\sigma)((u * b)\sigma) = \varphi(u\rho)(a\sigma)\varphi(u\rho)(b\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

3.  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism:

Let  $u, v \in U(X), a \in U_r(X_\rho)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u\rho)(\varphi(v\rho)(a\sigma)) &= \varphi(u\rho)((v * a)\sigma) = (u * (v * a))\sigma = ((uv) * a)\sigma \\ &= \varphi(uv\rho)(a\sigma) = \varphi((u\rho)(v\rho))(a\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

□

**4.4 Lemma.** Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X)$ ,  $\rho \supseteq \iota(X)$ ,  $\sigma \in BIC\ U_r(X_\rho)$ . Further, let  $\varphi : U(X)/\rho \rightarrow (\text{End}(U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma)/\sigma, \circ)$  be the homomorphism from 4.3. Finally, let  $\vartheta : \overline{X} \rightarrow U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \times_\varphi U(X)/\rho$  be given by

$$y \mapsto (\pi_\rho(y)\sigma, y\rho) \quad (y \in \overline{X}).$$

Then

- (i)  $\vartheta$  is a matched mapping
- (ii)  $\theta(u) = (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)$  for all  $u \in U_r(X)$   
(where  $\theta$  is the extension of the matched mapping  $\vartheta$ ).

**Proof.** Note that  $U(X)/\rho \in \mathbf{I}$ ,  $U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \in \mathbf{ES}$ ,  $U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \times_\varphi U(X)/\rho \in \mathbf{ES}$  (see 4.1, 4.2 and 2.4).

- (i) Choose  $x \in X$ . Then  $\vartheta(x)\vartheta(x')\vartheta(x) =$   
 $= ((xx'\rho, x)\sigma, x\rho) ((x'\rho, x')\sigma, x'\rho) ((xx'\rho, x)\sigma, x\rho)$   
 $= (\varphi(xx'xx'\rho)((xx'\rho, x)\sigma)\varphi(x\rho)((x'\rho, x')\sigma), xx'\rho) ((xx'\rho, x)\sigma, x\rho)$   
 $= ((xx' * (xx'\rho, x))(x * (x'\rho, x'))\sigma, xx'\rho) ((xx'\rho, x)\sigma, x\rho)$   
 $= ((xx'\rho, x)(xx'\rho, x')\sigma, xx'\rho) ((xx'\rho, x)\sigma, x\rho)$   
 $= (\varphi(xx'xx'xx'\rho)((xx'\rho, x)(xx'\rho, x')\sigma)\varphi(xx'\rho)((xx'\rho, x)\sigma), xx'x\rho)$   
 $= ((xx' * (xx'\rho, x)(xx'\rho, x'))(xx' * (xx'\rho, x))\sigma, x\rho)$   
 $= ((xx'\rho, x)(xx'\rho, x')\sigma, xx'\rho)$   
 $= ((xx'\rho, x)\sigma, x\rho)$   
 $= \vartheta(x).$

Similarly,  $\vartheta(x')\vartheta(x)\vartheta(x') = \vartheta(x')$ .

- (ii) We proceed by induction with respect to  $u$ . Let  $u, v \in U_r(X)$ ,  $\theta(u) = (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)$ ,  $\theta(v) = (\pi_\rho(v)\sigma, v\rho)$ . Then  $\theta(uv) = \theta(u)\theta(v)$   
 $= (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(v)\sigma, v\rho)$   
 $= (\varphi(uvv'u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma)\varphi(u\rho)(\pi_\rho(v)\sigma), uv\rho)$   
 $= ((uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v))\sigma, uv\rho)$   
 $= (\pi_\rho(uv)\sigma, uv\rho).$

Let  $u \in U_r(X)$ ,  $u\gamma(X) = 1(X)$ ,  $\theta(u) = (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)$ . Note that  $\pi_\rho(u) \in U_r(X_\rho)$  by 3.9 and  $\pi_\rho(u)\gamma(X_\rho) = 1(X_\rho)$  by 3.8. We want to prove  $\theta(u') = (\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)$ . In view of  $\theta(u') = (\theta(u))^{-1}$  we have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) &= (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho), \\ (\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho) &= (\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho), \\ (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho) &= (\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We see that } (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) &= \\ = (\varphi(uu'uu'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma)\varphi(u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma), uu'\rho) (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) &= \\ = ((uu' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * (u' * (\pi_\rho(u'))\sigma), uu'\rho) (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) &= \\ = (\pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u'))\sigma, uu'\rho) (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) &= \\ = (\varphi(uu'uu'uu'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u'))\sigma)\varphi(uu'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma), uu'u\rho) &= \\ = ((uu' * \pi_\rho(u))(uu' * \pi_\rho(u'))(uu' * \pi_\rho(u))\sigma, u\rho) &= \\ = (\pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u'))\sigma, u\rho) &= \\ = (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho), \text{ since } \sigma \supseteq \varrho(\mathbf{ES}, X_\rho) \text{ and } \pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u'))'\pi_\rho(u) \varrho(\mathbf{ES}, X_\rho) \pi_\rho(u). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho) = (\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho) = \\ & = (\varphi(uu'uu'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma)\varphi(u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma), uu'\rho) \\ & = ((uu' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * (u' * (\pi_\rho(u))'))\sigma, uu'\rho) \\ & = (\pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))'\sigma, uu'\rho), \\ & (\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) = \\ & = (\varphi(u'uu'u\rho)(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma)\varphi(u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma), u'u\rho). \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $u^2 \iota(X) u$  (see 3.1). So,  $u \iota(X) u', u \rho u'$ .  
Then  $(\pi_\rho(u')\sigma, u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) =$   

$$\begin{aligned} & = ((uu' * (u' * (\pi_\rho(u))'))(u * (uu' * \pi_\rho(u)))\sigma, uu'\rho) \\ & = ((u(u^2)' * \pi_\rho(u))'(u^2 u' * \pi_\rho(u))\sigma, uu'\rho) \\ & = ((\pi_\rho(u))'\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, uu'\rho) \\ & = (\pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))'\sigma, uu'\rho), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sigma \supseteq \varrho(\mathbf{ES}, X_\rho)$  and  $\pi_\rho(u)(\pi_\rho(u))' \varrho(\mathbf{ES}, X_\rho) (\pi_\rho(u))'\pi_\rho(u)$ .  $\square$

**4.5 Corollary.** Let  $\rho \in FIC U(X)$ ,  $\rho \supseteq \iota(X)$ ,  $\sigma \in BIC U_r(X_\rho)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  be an e-variety and  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be a variety such that  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \sigma, \mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow \rho$ . Then

$$\sigma \square \rho \supseteq \varrho(\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}, X).$$

**Proof.** Let  $u, v \in U_r(X)$ ,  $u \varrho(\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}, X) v$ . We will show that  $u(\sigma \square \rho) v$ , i.e.  $u \rho v$  and  $\pi_\rho(u)\sigma\pi_\rho(v)$ . Note that  $U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $U(X)/\rho \in \mathcal{V}$  (see 4.1 and 4.2). We use the homomorphism  $\varphi : U(X)/\rho \rightarrow (\text{End}(U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma), \circ)$  from 4.3 and the matched mapping  $\vartheta : \overline{X} \rightarrow U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \times_\varphi U(X)/\rho$  from 4.4. Now,  $U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \times_\varphi U(X)/\rho \in \mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}$ . Thus the biidentity  $u \doteq v$  is satisfied in  $U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \times_\varphi U(X)/\rho$ , and therefore  $\theta(u) = \theta(v)$  (where  $\theta$  is the extension of the matched mapping  $\vartheta$ ). Hence, by 4.4(ii),  $(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho) = (\pi_\rho(v)\sigma, v\rho)$ .  $\square$

**4.6 Lemma.** Let  $S \in \mathbf{ES}$ ,  $T \in \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ)$  be a homomorphism,  $\vartheta : \overline{X} \rightarrow S \times_\varphi T$  be a matched mapping such that

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta(x_i) &= (s_i, t_i) \\ \vartheta(x'_i) &= (p_i, q_i) \quad (\text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\rho \in FIC U(X)$ ,  $\rho \supseteq \iota(X)$ . Suppose that all identities from  $\rho$  are satisfied in  $T$ . Let  $\vartheta_2 : X \rightarrow T$  be given by

$$x_i \mapsto t_i.$$

Let  $\vartheta_1 : \overline{X_\rho} \rightarrow S$  be given by

$$\begin{aligned} (u\rho, x_i) &\mapsto \varphi(\theta_2(u))(s_i) \\ (u\rho, x_i)' &\mapsto \varphi(\theta_2(ux_i))(p_i) \quad (\text{for } (u\rho, x_i) \in X_\rho), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_2 : U(X) \rightarrow T$  is the unary homomorphism extending  $\vartheta_2$ .

Finally, let  $\theta : U_r(X) \rightarrow S \times_\varphi T$  be the extension of the matched mapping  $\vartheta$ . Then

(i) the mapping  $\vartheta_1$  is matched

- (ii)  $\theta_1(u * a) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(a))$  for all  $u \in U(X), a \in U_r(X_\rho)$  ( $\theta_1$  denotes the extension of the matched mapping  $\vartheta_1$ )
- (iii)  $\theta(u) = (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))$  for all  $u \in U_r(X)$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) Let  $u, v \in U(X), u\rho v$ . We have to show that  $\theta_2(u) = \theta_2(v)$ . But all identities from  $\rho$  are satisfied in  $T$ , which implies  $\theta_2(u) = \theta_2(v)$ .

Now, let  $(u\rho, x_i) \in X_\rho$ . We have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i))\vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i)')\vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i)) &= \vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i)) \text{ and} \\ \vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i)')\vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i))\vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i)') &= \vartheta_1((u\rho, x_i)') \text{ i.e.} \\ \varphi(\theta_2(u))(s_i)\varphi(\theta_2(ux_i))(p_i)\varphi(\theta_2(u))(s_i) &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))(s_i) \text{ and} \\ \varphi(\theta_2(ux_i))(p_i)\varphi(\theta_2(u))(s_i)\varphi(\theta_2(ux_i))(p_i) &= \varphi(\theta_2(ux_i))(p_i) \text{ i.e.} \\ \varphi(\theta_2(u))(s_i\varphi(t_i)(p_i)s_i) &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))(s_i) \text{ and} \\ \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\varphi(t_i)(p_i)s_i\varphi(t_i)(p_i)) &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\varphi(t_i)(p_i)). \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $(s_i, t_i)(p_i, q_i)(s_i, t_i) = (s_i, t_i)$  and  $(p_i, q_i)(s_i, t_i)(p_i, q_i) = (p_i, q_i)$ .

We get  $(\varphi(t_i q_i t_i t_i' q_i' t_i' q_i' t_i' q_i' t_i')(s_i)\varphi(t_i q_i t_i t_i' q_i' t_i' q_i' t_i')(p_i)\varphi(t_i q_i)(s_i), t_i q_i t_i) = (s_i, t_i)$

and  $(\varphi(q_i t_i q_i q_i' t_i' q_i' t_i' q_i' t_i' q_i')(p_i)\varphi(q_i t_i q_i q_i' t_i' q_i' q_i)(s_i)\varphi(q_i t_i)(p_i), q_i t_i q_i) = (p_i, q_i)$ .

Thus  $q_i = t_i', s_i\varphi(t_i)(p_i)s_i = s_i, p_i\varphi(t_i')(s_i)p_i = p_i$ .

The last equality implies  $\varphi(t_i)(p_i)s_i\varphi(t_i)(p_i) = \varphi(t_i)(p_i)$ .

- (ii) We proceed by induction with respect to  $a$ . Let  $(v\rho, x_i) \in X_\rho$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(u * (v\rho, x_i)) &= \theta_1((uv\rho, x_i)) = \varphi(\theta_2(uv))(s_i) \\ &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\varphi(\theta_2(v))(s_i)) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1((v\rho, x_i))) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(u * (v\rho, x_i)') &= \theta_1((uv\rho, x_i)') = \varphi(\theta_2(uv x_i))(p_i) \\ &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\varphi(\theta_2(v x_i))(p_i)) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1((v\rho, x_i)')). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a, b \in U_r(X_\rho), \theta_1(u * a) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(a)), \theta_1(u * b) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(b))$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(u * ab) &= \theta_1((u * a)(u * b)) = \theta_1(u * a)\theta_1(u * b) \\ &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(a))\varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(b)) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(a)\theta_1(b)) \\ &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(ab)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a \in U_r(X_\rho), a\gamma(X_\rho) = 1(X_\rho), \theta_1(u * a) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(a))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(u * a') &= \theta_1((u * a)') = (\varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(a)))^{-1} \\ &= \varphi(\theta_2(u))((\theta_1(a))^{-1}) = \varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(a')). \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) By induction:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(x_i)), \theta_2(x_i)) &= (\theta_1((x_i x_i' \rho, x_i)), \theta_2(x_i)) = (\varphi(\theta_2(x_i x_i'))(s_i), t_i) \\ &= (\varphi(t_i t_i')(s_i), t_i) = (s_i, t_i) = \theta(x_i), \\ (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(x_i')), \theta_2(x_i')) &= (\theta_1((x_i' \rho, x_i)'), \theta_2(x_i')) \\ &= (\varphi(\theta_2(x_i' x_i))(p_i), q_i) = (\varphi(q_i q_i')(p_i), q_i) \\ &= (p_i, q_i) = \theta(x_i'). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u, v \in U_r(X), \theta(u) = (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)), \theta(v) = (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(v)), \theta_2(v))$ . Then

$$\theta(uv) = \theta(u)\theta(v)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(v)), \theta_2(v)) \\
&= (\varphi(\theta_2(uvv'u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)))\varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(v))), \theta_2(u)\theta_2(v)).
\end{aligned}$$

It was proved in section (ii) that  $\varphi(\theta_2(uvv'u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))) = \theta_1(uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))$ ,  $\varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(v))) = \theta_1(u * \pi_\rho(v))$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta(uv) &= (\theta_1((uvv'u' * \pi_\rho(u))(u * \pi_\rho(v))), \theta_2(uv)) \\
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(uv)), \theta_2(uv)).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, let  $u \in U_r(X)$ ,  $u\gamma(X) = 1(X)$ ,  $\theta(u) = (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))$ . We have to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)) = (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)), \\
&(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u')) = (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u')), \\
&(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u')) = (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{We see that } (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)) = \\
&= (\varphi(\theta_2(uu'uu'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)))\varphi(\theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u'))), \theta_2(uu')) \\
&\quad (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))\theta_1((\pi_\rho(u))'), \theta_2(uu'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)) \\
&= (\varphi(\theta_2(uu'uu'uu'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))\theta_1((\pi_\rho(u))'))\varphi(\theta_2(uu'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))), \\
&\quad \theta_2(uu'u))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))\theta_1((\pi_\rho(u))')\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(uu'u)) \\
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Similarly, } (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u')) = \\
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u')).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Further, } (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u')) = \\
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))\theta_1((\pi_\rho(u))'), \theta_2(uu')), \\
&\quad (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')), \theta_2(u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u)) = \\
&= (\varphi(\theta_2(u'u'u'u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u')))\varphi(\theta_2(u'))(\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))), \theta_2(u'u)) \\
&= (\theta_1(u' * \pi_\rho(u))'\theta_1(u' * \pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u'u)) \\
&= (\theta_1(uu' * \pi_\rho(u))'\theta_1(uu' * \pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u'u)) \\
&= (\theta_1((\pi_\rho(u))')\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)), \theta_2(u'u)) \\
&= (\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u))\theta_1((\pi_\rho(u))'), \theta_2(uu')).
\end{aligned}$$

We used the following facts:

$$u^2 \iota(X) u \text{ (see 3.1), } u^2 \rho u, u \rho u', \theta_2(u) \in E(T), \theta_2(u') \in E(T). \quad \square$$

**4.7 Corollary.** Let  $\rho \in FIC U(X)$ ,  $\rho \supseteq \iota(X)$ ,  $\sigma \in BIC U_r(X_\rho)$ . Let  $S \in \mathbf{ES}$ ,  $T \in \mathbf{I}$ . Suppose that all identities from  $\rho$  are satisfied in  $T$  and all biidentities from  $\sigma$  are satisfied in  $S$ . Finally, let  $\varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ)$  be a homomorphism. Then  $\sigma \square \rho \subseteq \varrho(\{S \times_\varphi T\}, X)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $u, v \in U_r(X)$ ,  $u(\sigma \square \rho)v, \vartheta : \overline{X} \rightarrow S \times_\varphi T$  be a matched mapping. We have to show that  $\theta(u) = \theta(v)$ , where  $\theta : U_r(X) \rightarrow S \times_\varphi T$  is the extension of  $\vartheta$ . We know that  $u \rho v, \pi_\rho(u) \sigma \pi_\rho(v)$ . Consider the mappings  $\vartheta_1$  and  $\vartheta_2$  from 4.6. The mapping  $\vartheta_1$  is matched by 4.6(i). Let  $\theta_1 : U_r(X_\rho) \rightarrow S$  be the extension of  $\vartheta_1$  and  $\theta_2 : U(X) \rightarrow T$  be the unary homomorphism extending  $\vartheta_2$ . Then  $\theta_1(\pi_\rho(u)) = \theta_1(\pi_\rho(v))$  and  $\theta_2(u) = \theta_2(v)$ . Thus  $\theta(u) = \theta(v)$  (by 4.6(iii)).  $\square$

**4.8 Result.** ([2], Lemma 1) *Let  $\psi : Q \rightarrow W$  be a surjective homomorphism of regular semigroups and let  $a, b \in W, aba = a, bab = b$ . Then there exist  $c, d \in Q$  such that  $cdc = c, dcd = d$  and  $\psi(c) = a, \psi(d) = b$ .*

**4.9 Corollary.** *Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), \sigma \in BIC\ U_r(X_\rho)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  be an e-variety,  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be a variety such that  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \sigma, \mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow \rho$ . Then*

$$\sigma \square \rho \subseteq \varrho(\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}, X).$$

**Proof.** Let  $u, v \in U_r(X), u(\sigma \square \rho)v, W \in \mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}$  and let  $\vartheta : \overline{X} \rightarrow W$  be a matched mapping. We will show that  $\theta(u) = \theta(v)$ , where  $\theta : U_r(X) \rightarrow W$  is the extension of  $\vartheta$ . It follows from 2.7 that there exist  $S \in \mathcal{U}, T \in \mathcal{V}$ , a homomorphism  $\varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ)$ , a regular subsemigroup  $Q$  in  $S \times_\varphi T$  and a surjective homomorphism  $\psi : Q \rightarrow W$ . By 4.8, there is a matched mapping  $\bar{\vartheta} : \overline{X} \rightarrow Q$  such that  $\psi(\bar{\vartheta}(y)) = \vartheta(y)$  for all  $y \in \overline{X}$ . Then  $\psi(\bar{\theta}(w)) = \theta(w)$  for all  $w \in U_r(X)$  ( $\bar{\theta} : U_r(X) \rightarrow Q$  is the extension of  $\bar{\vartheta}$ ). Now, we use 4.7. We have  $\bar{\theta}(u) = \bar{\theta}(v)$ . Thus  $\psi(\bar{\theta}(u)) = \psi(\bar{\theta}(v)), \theta(u) = \theta(v)$ .  $\square$

**4.10 Theorem.** *Let  $\rho \in FIC\ U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), \sigma \in BIC\ U_r(X_\rho)$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  be an e-variety,  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be a variety such that  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \sigma, \mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow \rho$ . Then*

- (i)  $\sigma \square \rho \in BIC\ U_r(X)$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow \sigma \square \rho$
- (iii) The mapping  $\psi : U_r(X)/\sigma \square \rho \rightarrow U_r(X_\rho)/\sigma \times_\varphi U(X)/\rho$  defined by

$$\psi(u(\sigma \square \rho)) = (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho),$$

where  $\varphi$  is the homomorphism from 4.3, is an embedding.

**Proof.**

- (i) and (ii) Note that  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  (see 2.4). By 4.5 and 4.9 we have  $\sigma \square \rho = \varrho(\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}, X)$ . Thus  $\sigma \square \rho \in BIC\ U_r(X)$  and  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow \sigma \square \rho$  by 4.1.
- (iii) It follows immediately from the definition of  $\sigma \square \rho$  that  $\psi$  is a correctly defined injective mapping.

$\psi$  is a homomorphism:

Let  $u, v \in U_r(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi((u(\sigma \square \rho))(v(\sigma \square \rho))) &= \psi(uv(\sigma \square \rho)) \\ &= (\pi_\rho(uv)\sigma, uv\rho) = ((uvv'u'\pi_\rho(u))(u\pi_\rho(v))\sigma, uv\rho) \\ &= (\varphi(uvv'u'\rho)(\pi_\rho(u)\sigma)\varphi(u\rho)(\pi_\rho(v)\sigma), uv\rho) \\ &= (\pi_\rho(u)\sigma, u\rho)(\pi_\rho(v)\sigma, v\rho) \\ &= \psi(u(\sigma \square \rho))\psi(v(\sigma \square \rho)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**4.11 Remark.** Theorem 4.10 together with Result 4.2 show that bifree objects in  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}$  are isomorphic to some subsemigroups in suitable semidirect products of bifree objects in  $\mathcal{U}$  by free objects in  $\mathcal{V}$ , for any e-variety  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  and any variety  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$ .



This section is concluded with a corollary of Theorem 4.10. First, the following result ensures that if  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are varieties of inverse semigroups then  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}$  is also a variety of inverse semigroups.

**4.12 Result.** ([1], Proposition 1) *Let  $S, T$  be inverse semigroups,  $\varphi : (T, \cdot) \rightarrow (\text{End}(S), \circ)$  be a homomorphism. Then  $S \times_{\varphi} T$  is also an inverse semigroup.*

**4.13 Corollary.** *Let  $\rho \in \text{FIC } U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), \sigma \in \text{FIC } U(X_{\rho}), \sigma \supseteq \iota(X_{\rho})$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be varieties such that  $\mathcal{U} \leftrightarrow \sigma, \mathcal{V} \leftrightarrow \rho$ . Denote by  $\sigma \square \rho$  the fully invariant congruence on  $U(X)$  corresponding to the variety  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}$ . Then*

$$u (\sigma \square \rho) v \iff u \rho v \text{ and } \pi_{\rho}(u) \sigma \pi_{\rho}(v) \quad (\text{for all } u, v \in U(X)).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma_0$  be the biinvariant congruence on  $U_r(X_{\rho})$  corresponding to the e-variety  $\mathcal{U}$ . It follows from 4.10(ii) that  $\sigma_0 \square \rho$  is the biinvariant congruence on  $U_r(X)$  corresponding to the e-variety  $\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}$ . Clearly,  $\sigma_0 = \sigma \cap (U_r(X_{\rho}) \times U_r(X_{\rho})), \sigma_0 \square \rho = (\sigma \square \rho) \cap (U_r(X) \times U_r(X))$ . Let  $u, v \in U(X)$ . There are  $u_0, v_0 \in U_r(X)$  such that  $u_0 \iota(X) u, v_0 \iota(X) v$ . Then  $u_0 \rho u, v_0 \rho v, u_0 (\sigma \square \rho) u, v_0 (\sigma \square \rho) v$ . Further,  $\pi_{\rho}(u_0) \iota(X_{\rho}) \pi_{\rho}(u), \pi_{\rho}(v_0) \iota(X_{\rho}) \pi_{\rho}(v)$  (by 3.7). Thus  $\pi_{\rho}(u_0) \sigma \pi_{\rho}(u), \pi_{\rho}(v_0) \sigma \pi_{\rho}(v)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} u (\sigma \square \rho) v &\iff u_0 (\sigma \square \rho) v_0 \\ &\iff u_0 (\sigma_0 \square \rho) v_0 \\ &\iff u_0 \rho v_0 \text{ and } \pi_{\rho}(u_0) \sigma_0 \pi_{\rho}(v_0) \\ &\iff u \rho v \text{ and } \pi_{\rho}(u_0) \sigma \pi_{\rho}(v_0) \\ &\iff u \rho v \text{ and } \pi_{\rho}(u) \sigma \pi_{\rho}(v). \end{aligned}$$

We used also the facts that  $\pi_{\rho}(u_0), \pi_{\rho}(v_0) \in U_r(X_{\rho})$  (by 3.9).  $\square$

## 5. ASSOCIATIVITY

We specify our notation in this section. Let  $Y$  be a countable set,  $\rho \in \text{FIC } U(Y), \rho \supseteq \iota(Y)$ . Put

$$Y_{\rho} = U(Y)/\rho \times Y$$

and define

$$\pi(Y, \rho) : U(Y) \rightarrow U(Y_{\rho})$$

in the same way as the mapping  $\pi_{\rho}$  in the section 3 (of course, we replace the set  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$  by an arbitrary countable set  $Y$ ).

Throughout this section, let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  be an e-variety and  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be varieties. We will prove syntactically that

$$(U \square \mathcal{V}) \square \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{U} \square (\mathcal{V} \square \mathcal{W}).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{V} \square \mathcal{W}$  is a variety of inverse semigroups by 4.12 and so the right side of the equation mentioned above is meaningful.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\in \text{FIC } U(X), \rho \supseteq \iota(X), \rho \leftrightarrow \mathcal{W}, \\ \sigma &\in \text{FIC } U(X_{\rho}), \sigma \supseteq \iota(X_{\rho}), \sigma \leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}, \end{aligned}$$

$\tau \in BIC U_r(X_{\sigma \sqcap \rho}), \tau \leftrightarrow \mathcal{U},$   
 $\tau' \in BIC U_r((X_\rho)_\sigma), \tau' \leftrightarrow \mathcal{U}.$

In view of 4.10 we have to prove that

$$(\tau' \sqcap \sigma) \sqcap \rho = \tau \sqcap (\sigma \sqcap \rho).$$

Choose  $u, v \in U_r(X)$ . Then (by the definition of  $\sqcap$  and by 4.13)

$$\begin{aligned} u (\tau \sqcap (\sigma \sqcap \rho)) v &\iff u (\sigma \sqcap \rho) v \\ &\iff \pi(X, \sigma \sqcap \rho)(u) \tau \pi(X, \sigma \sqcap \rho)(v) \\ &\iff u \rho v \\ &\iff \pi(X, \rho)(u) \sigma \pi(X, \rho)(v) \\ &\iff \pi(X, \sigma \sqcap \rho)(u) \tau \pi(X, \sigma \sqcap \rho)(v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u ((\tau' \sqcap \sigma) \sqcap \rho) v &\iff u \rho v \\ &\iff \pi(X, \rho)(u) (\tau' \sqcap \sigma) \pi(X, \rho)(v) \\ &\iff u \rho v \\ &\iff \pi(X, \rho)(u) \sigma \pi(X, \rho)(v) \\ &\iff \pi(X_\rho, \sigma) (\pi(X, \rho)(u)) \tau' \pi(X_\rho, \sigma) (\pi(X, \rho)(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, it suffices to prove that

$$\pi(X, \sigma \sqcap \rho)(u) \tau \pi(X, \sigma \sqcap \rho)(v)$$

is equivalent to

$$\pi(X_\rho, \sigma) (\pi(X, \rho)(u)) \tau' \pi(X_\rho, \sigma) (\pi(X, \rho)(v)).$$

Define  $\alpha : X_{\sigma \sqcap \rho} \rightarrow (X_\rho)_\sigma$  by

$$(w(\sigma \sqcap \rho), x) \mapsto (\pi(X, \rho)(w)\sigma, (w\rho, x))$$

$(w \in U(X), x \in X).$

**5.1 Lemma.**  $\alpha$  is a correctly defined injective mapping.

**Proof.**

1. Let  $u, v \in U(X), u (\sigma \sqcap \rho) v$ . We want to show:  $u \rho v, \pi(X, \rho)(u) \sigma \pi(X, \rho)(v)$ . It follows immediately from 4.13.
2. Let  $u, v \in U(X), x, y \in X, (\pi(X, \rho)(u)\sigma, (u\rho, x)) = (\pi(X, \rho)(v)\sigma, (v\rho, y))$ . We want to show that  $(u(\sigma \sqcap \rho), x) = (v(\sigma \sqcap \rho), y)$ .  
 $(u\rho, x) = (v\rho, y)$  implies  $u \rho v, x = y$ .  
 $\pi(X, \rho)(u)\sigma = \pi(X, \rho)(v)\sigma$  together with  $u \rho v$  implies  $u (\sigma \sqcap \rho) v$  (see 4.13)  $\square$

Now, we extend the mapping

$$\alpha : X_{\sigma \sqcap \rho} \rightarrow (X_\rho)_\sigma$$

to the unary homomorphism

$$\alpha : U(X_{\sigma \sqcap \rho}) \rightarrow U((X_\rho)_\sigma).$$

**5.2 Lemma.**  $\alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v)) = (uvv' u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(u * \pi(X, \rho)(v))$   
for any  $u, v \in U(X)$ .

**Proof.** By induction with respect to  $v$ :

- $$\begin{aligned}
1. \quad & \text{Let } x \in X. \text{ Then } \alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(x)) = \alpha(u * (x'(\sigma \square \rho), x)) \\
&= \alpha((uxx'(\sigma \square \rho), x)) = (\pi(X, \rho)(uxx')\sigma, (uxx'\rho, x)) \\
&= ((uxx'xx'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(u * \pi(X, \rho)(xx'))\sigma, (uxx'\rho, x)) \\
&= (uxx'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * ((u * \pi(X, \rho)(xx'))\sigma, (uxx'\rho, x)). \\
&\text{Now, } u * \pi(X, \rho)(xx') = \\
&= u * (xx'xx' * (xx'\rho, x))(x * (x'\rho, x')) \\
&= (uxx'\rho, x)(uxx'\rho, x)' \\
&\text{So, } \alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(x)) = \\
&= (uxx'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * ((uxx'\rho, x)(uxx'\rho, x)'\sigma, (uxx'\rho, x)) \\
&= (uxx'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(u * \pi(X, \rho)(x)). \\
2. \quad & \text{Let } v, w \in U(X). \text{ We suppose that} \\
&\alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v)) = (uvv'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(u * \pi(X, \rho)(v)), \\
&\alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(w)) = (uww'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(u * \pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&\text{for all } u \in U(X). \\
&\text{Now, choose an arbitrary } u \in U(X). \text{ Then } \alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(vw)) = \\
&= \alpha((uvw'v' * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v))(uv * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(w))) \\
&= ((uvw'v'v'v'vw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(uvw'v')) * \\
&\quad * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))) \\
&\quad ((uvw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(uv)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w))). \\
&\text{Now, } uvw'v'v'v'vw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(uvw'v') = \\
&= uvw'v'(uvw'v')' * \pi(X, \rho)(uvw'v') \\
&= \pi(X, \rho)(uvw'v') \\
&= (uvw'v'vw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw'v')) \\
&= (uvw'vw'vw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw'v')) \\
&= (uvw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw'v')) \\
&\text{and } uvw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(uv) = \\
&= (uvw'v'u'uvv'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(uvw'v'u'u * \pi(X, \rho)(v)) \\
&= (uvw'v'v'v'u'uu' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(uu'uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)) \\
&= (uvw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)). \\
&\text{So, } \alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(vw)) = (uvw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \\
&\quad * ((u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw'v')) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))) \\
&\quad ((uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w))). \\
&\text{We will show that } (uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))(uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&\quad (uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w))'(uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))' \iota(X_\rho) \\
&\iota(X_\rho) u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw'v'): (uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))(uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&\quad (uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w))'(uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))' \iota(X_\rho) \\
&\iota(X_\rho) (uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))(uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&\quad ((uvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))(uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w)))' \\
&= u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw)(\pi(X, \rho)(vw))' \\
&= u * (vw(vw)' * \pi(X, \rho)(vw))(vw * ((vw)' * (\pi(X, \rho)(vw))')) \\
&= u * (vw(vw)'vw(vw)' * \pi(X, \rho)(vw))(vw * \pi(X, \rho)((vw)'))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw(vw)') \\
&\iota(X_\rho) u * \pi(X, \rho)(vwv'v') \\
&\text{(note that } \pi(X, \rho)(vw(vw)') \iota(X_\rho) \pi(X, \rho)(vwv'v') \text{ by 3.7 and the mapping } \\
&a \mapsto u * a \text{ is an endomorphism on } U(X_\rho)). \\
&\text{Since } \sigma \supseteq \iota(X_\rho), \text{ we get } \alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(vw)) = \\
&= (uvvw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)((uvvw'v' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))(uv * \pi(X, \rho)(w))) \\
&= (uvvw'v'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(u * \pi(X, \rho)(vw)).
\end{aligned}$$

3. Let  $v \in U(X)$ . We suppose that  $\alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v)) = (uvv'u' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(u * \pi(X, \rho)(v))$  for all  $u \in U(X)$ .

Now, choose an arbitrary  $u \in U(X)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(u * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v')) = \alpha((uv' * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v))') \\
&= ((uv' \underline{vv'vu'} * \pi(X, \rho)(uv')) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)))' \\
&= (uv'vu' * \pi(X, \rho)(uv')) * (\pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)))' \\
&= (\underline{uv'vu'uv'vu'} * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(\underline{uv'vu'uv'} * (\pi(X, \rho)(v))') * \\
&\quad * (\pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)))' \\
&= (\underline{uu'uv'vv'vu'} * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(\underline{uu'uv'vv'} * \pi(X, \rho)(v))' * \\
&\quad * (\pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)))' \\
&= (uv'vu' * \pi(X, \rho)(u))(uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))' * \\
&\quad * (\pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v)))' \\
&= (uv'vu' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * ((uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))' * (\pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))))' \\
&= (uv'vu' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)((uv' * \pi(X, \rho)(v))') \\
&= (uv'vu' * \pi(X, \rho)(u)) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(u * \pi(X, \rho)(v')). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**5.3 Corollary.**  $\alpha(\pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(w)) = \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(\pi(X, \rho)(w))$  for any  $w \in U(X)$ .

**Proof.** Using 5.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(\pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(w)) = \alpha(ww' * \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(w)) \\
&= (ww'ww'ww' * \pi(X, \rho)(ww')) * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(ww' * \pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&= (ww'ww' * \pi(X, \rho)(w))(ww' * (\pi(X, \rho)(w))') * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(\pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&= (ww' * \pi(X, \rho)(w))(ww' * \pi(X, \rho)(w))' * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(\pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&= \pi(X, \rho)(w)(\pi(X, \rho)(w))' * \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(\pi(X, \rho)(w)) \\
&= \pi(X_\rho, \sigma)(\pi(X, \rho)(w)). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

**5.4 Theorem.** Let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbf{ES}$  be an e-variety and  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$  be varieties. Then

$$\mathcal{U} \square (\mathcal{V} \square \mathcal{W}) = (\mathcal{U} \square \mathcal{V}) \square \mathcal{W}.$$

**Proof.** It follows from 5.1 that  $\pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(u) \tau \pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v)$  is equivalent to  $\alpha(\pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(u)) \tau' \alpha(\pi(X, \sigma \square \rho)(v))$  ( $u, v \in U_r(X)$ ). Now, we use 5.3 and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Billhardt, B., *On a wreath product embedding and idempotent pure congruences on inverse semigroups*, Semigroup Forum 45 (1992), 45–54.
- [2] Hall, T. E., *Congruences and Green's relations on regular semigroups*, Glasgow Math. J. 13 (1972), 167–175.
- [3] Hall, T. E., *Identities for existence varieties of regular semigroups*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 40 (1989), 59–77.
- [4] Howie, J. M., *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1976.
- [5] Kaĉourek, J., Szendrei, M. B., *A new approach in the theory of orthodox semigroups*, Semigroup Forum 40 (1990), 257–296.
- [6] Kaĉourek, J., Szendrei, M. B., *On existence varieties of E-solid semigroups*, preprint.
- [7] Kuřil, M., *A multiplication of e-varieties of orthodox semigroups*, Arch. Math. (Brno) 31 (1995), 43–54.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
J. E. PURKYNĚ UNIVERSITY  
ČESKÉ MLÁDEŽE 8  
400 96 ÚSTÍ NAD LABEM, CZECH REPUBLIC  
*E-mail:* KURILM@PF.UJEP.CZ