

# Bogomolov on tori revisited.

Francesco AMOROSO

*Laboratoire de mathématiques Nicolas Oresme, CNRS UMR 6139  
 Université de Caen, Campus II, BP 5186  
 14032 Caen Cédex, France*

## 1 Introduction.

Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  be a geometrically irreducible variety which is not torsion (*i. e.* not a translate of a subtorus by a torsion point). For  $\theta > 0$  let  $V(\theta)$  be the set of  $\alpha \in V(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  of Weil's height  $h(\alpha) \leq \theta$ . By the toric case of Bogomolov conjecture (which is a theorem of Zhang),

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) = \inf\{\theta > 0, \overline{V(\theta)} = V\} > 0.$$

If we assume moreover that  $V$  is not a translate of a subtorus by a point (eventually of infinite order) we can give a lower bound for  $\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V)$  depending only on  $\deg(V)$  (see [Bom-Zan 1995], [Dav-Phi 1999], [Sch 1996]).

Let us define the obstruction index  $\omega(V)$  as the minimum degree of an hypersurface containing  $V$ . We remark that  $\omega(V) \leq n \deg(V)^{1/\text{codim}(V)}$  ([Cha]). Assume that  $V$  is not transverse (*i. e.* is not contained in a translate of a subtorus). In [Amo-Dav 2003] we conjecture

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) \geq c(n)\omega(V)^{-1}$$

for some  $c(n) > 0$  and we prove

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) \geq c(n)\omega(V)^{-1}(\log(3\omega(V)))^{-\lambda(\text{codim}(V))}$$

where  $\lambda(k) = (9(3k)^{k+1})^k$ .

The aim of this paper is to give a more simple proof of a slightly improved (and explicit) version of this result (theorem 4.1), based on a very simple determinant argument (see section 2). More precisely the proof presented here

- avoid the use of the absolute Siegel's lemma of Zhang (see [Dav-Phi 1999], lemme 4.7)
- don't need any variant of zero's lemma and the subsequent combinatorial arguments (section 4 of [Amo-Dav 2003])

- don't use the weighted obstruction index  $\omega(T; V)$  defined in [Amo-Dav 2003], definition 2.3.

Let

$$V^0 = V \setminus \bigcup_{B \subseteq V} B.$$

where the union is on the set of translates  $B$  of subgroups of positive dimension contained in  $V$ . In [Amo-Dav 2006], theorem 1.5 we deduce from a lower bound for the essential minimum of  $V$ , a lower bound for height for all but finitely points of  $V^0$ . Here we prove (theorem 5.1) an again slightly improved (and explicit) version of that result. We also correct a mistake which appears in that paper: in *op. cit.*, theorem 1.5,  $\delta(V)$  must be defined as the minimum degree  $\delta$  such that  $V$  is, as a set, intersection of hypersurface of degree  $\leq \delta$  (see remark 5.2 for details).

The determinant argument allow us to prove also very precise results concerning the normalized height  $\hat{h}(V)$  of an hypersurface  $V$  (see section 3 for the definition). In this special case we conjecture :

**Conjecture 1.1** *Assume one of the following:*

- i)  $V$  is geometrically irreducible and it is not a translate of a subtorus.*
- ii)  $V$  is defined and irreducible over the rationals and is not torsion.*

*Then, there exists an absolute constant  $c > 0$  such that  $\hat{h}(V) \geq c$ .*

We remark that Lehmer's conjecture implies conjecture ii), *via* an argument of Lawton. We shall prove

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  be an hypersurface of multi-degrees  $(D_1, \dots, D_n)$  with discrete stabilizer. Then, if  $n \geq 9$  and*

$$\max D_j \leq 3^{2^n}$$

*we have*

$$\hat{h}(V) \geq \frac{1}{23}.$$

This result shows that an eventual example contradicting conjecture i) in  $n$  variable must be realized by polynomials of very big degree (or comes from an hypersurface of less variables). This could suggests an even more optimistic conjecture:

*Let  $V$  be a geometric irreducible hypersurface of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  with discrete stabilizer. Then  $\hat{h}(V) \geq f(n)$ , where  $f(n) \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

In section 3 we also provide a counterexample to this last statement.

## 2 A determinant argument.

The following proposition is the key argument for the proof of the main theorems.

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n$  and let  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  be the ideal defining its Zariski closure. For  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $H(S; \nu)$  the Hilbert function  $\dim[\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]/I]_\nu$ . Let  $T$  be a positive integer and let  $I^{(T)}$  be the  $T$ -symbolic power of  $I$ , *i. e.* the ideal of polynomials vanishing on  $S$  with multiplicity  $\geq T$ . We put  $H(S, T; \nu) = \dim[\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]/I^{(T)}]_\nu$ .

Similarly, if  $S \subseteq (\mathbb{P}_1)^n$  and  $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  we denote its multi-homogeneous Hilbert function by

$$H(S; \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \dim([\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I]_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n})$$

where  $I \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$  is the ideal defining  $\overline{S}$ . More generally, if  $T$  is a positive integer we put  $H(S, T; \boldsymbol{\nu}) = \dim([\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I^{(T)}]_{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n})$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $\nu, T$  be positive integers and let  $p$  be a prime number. Let also  $h$  be a positive real number and  $S$  be a subset (eventually infinite) of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  of points of height  $\leq h$ . Then*

$$h \geq \left(1 - \frac{H(S, T; \nu)}{H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu)}\right) \frac{T \log p}{p\nu} - \frac{n}{2\nu} \log(\nu + 1). \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, if

$$H(S, T; \nu) \leq \frac{1}{2} H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu) \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$T \log p \geq 2np \log(\nu + 1), \quad (2.3)$$

then

$$h \geq \frac{T \log p}{4p\nu} \geq \frac{n \log(\nu + 1)}{2\nu}.$$

**Proof.** Let for brevity  $S' = \ker[p]S$ . We consider the (eventually infinite) matrix

$$(\boldsymbol{\beta}^\lambda)_{\substack{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in S' \\ |\lambda| \leq \nu}}$$

of rang  $L = H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu)$ . We select  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\beta}_L \in S'$  and  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_L$  with  $|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_j| \leq \nu$  such that the determinant

$$\Delta = |\det(\boldsymbol{\beta}_i^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_j})_{i,j=1, \dots, L}|$$

is non-zero. Let  $L_0 = H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu) - H(S, T; \nu)$ . Then, by definition, there exist linearly independent polynomials  $G_k = \sum_{j=1}^{L_0} g_{kj} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_j}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, L_0$ ) vanishing on  $S$  with multiplicity  $\geq T$ . Let  $K$  be a sufficiently large field and let  $v$  be a non archimedean place of  $K$  dividing  $p$ . After renumbering the multi-indexes  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_L$  and after making some linear combinations, we can assume

$$G_k = \sum_{j=1}^{L-k+1} g_{kj} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}_j}$$

and moreover

$$|g_{k,j}|_v \begin{cases} \leq 1, & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, L - k; \\ = 1, & \text{if } j = L - k + 1; \end{cases}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, L_0$ . By elementary operations on columns we replace the last  $L_0$  columns of  $\Delta$  by the columns

$${}^\tau(G_k(\beta_1), \dots, G_k(\beta_L)), \quad k = 1, \dots, L_0.$$

Let  $\Delta'$  the new determinant; then  $|\Delta'|_v = |\Delta|_v$ . Since  $G_k$  vanish on  $S$  with multiplicity  $\geq T$  and since its coefficients are  $v$ -integers, we also have

$$|G_k(\beta_i)|_v \leq p^{-T/(p-1)} \max\{1, |\beta_{i,1}|_v, \dots, |\beta_{i,n}|_v\}^\nu \quad (i = 1, \dots, L; k = 1, \dots, L_0).$$

By developing  $\Delta'$  with respect to the last  $L_0$  columns we obtain

$$|\Delta'|_v = |\Delta|_v \leq p^{-L_0 T/(p-1)} \prod_{i=1}^L \max\{1, |\beta_{i,1}|_v, \dots, |\beta_{i,n}|_v\}^{\nu L}.$$

By the product's formula (using a trivial lower bound for  $v \nmid p$ )

$$1 \leq p^{-L_0 T/(p-1)} L^{L/2} e^{\nu h L}$$

and, using  $L \leq \binom{\nu+1}{n} \leq (\nu+1)^n$ ,

$$\log h \geq \frac{L_0}{L} \times \frac{T \log p}{p\nu} - \frac{n}{2\nu} \log(\nu+1)$$

and the statement of proposition 2.1 follows. □

The following is a multihomogeneous version of proposition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.2** *Let  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n, T$  be positive integers and let  $p$  be a prime number. Let also  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  be a positive real number and  $S$  be a subset (eventually infinite) of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  of points  $\alpha$  satisfying  $h(\alpha_j) \leq h_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then*

$$\nu_1 h_1 + \dots + \nu_n h_n \geq \left(1 - \frac{H(S, T; \nu)}{H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu)}\right) \frac{T \log p}{p} - \frac{n}{2} \log(\nu_{\max} + 1) \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\nu_{\max} = \max\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n\}$ .

**Proof.** Let for brevity  $S' = \ker[p]S$ . We consider the matrix

$$(\beta^\lambda)_{\substack{\beta \in S' \\ |\lambda_1| \leq \nu_1, \dots, |\lambda_n| \leq \nu_n}}$$

of rang  $L = H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu)$ . We select  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_L \in S'$  and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L$  with  $|\lambda_{j,l}| \leq \nu_l$  such that the determinant

$$\Delta = |\det(\beta_i^{\lambda_j})_{i,j=1,\dots,L}|$$

is non-zero. Let  $L_0 = H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu) - H(S, T; \nu)$ . Then, by definition, there exists linearly independent polynomials  $G_k = \sum_{j=1}^L g_{kj} \mathbf{x}^{\lambda_j}$  ( $k = 1, \dots, L_0$ ) vanishing on  $S$  with multiplicity  $\geq T$ . Let  $K$  be a sufficiently large field and let  $v$  be a non archimedean place of  $K$  dividing  $p$ . After renumbering the multi-index  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L$  and after making some linear combinations, we can assume

$$G_k = \sum_{j=1}^{L-k+1} g_{kj} \mathbf{x}^{\lambda_j}$$

and moreover

$$|g_{k,j}|_v \begin{cases} \leq 1, & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, L-k; \\ = 1, & \text{if } j = L-k+1; \end{cases}$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, L_0$ . By elementary operations on columns we replace the last  $L_0$  columns of  $\Delta$  by the columns

$$\tau(G_k(\beta_1), \dots, G_k(\beta_L)), \quad k = 1, \dots, L_0.$$

Let  $\Delta'$  the new determinant; then  $|\Delta'|_v = |\Delta|_v$ . Since  $G_k$  vanish on  $S$  with multiplicity  $\geq T$  and since its coefficients are  $v$ -integers, we also have

$$|G_k(\beta_i)|_v \leq p^{-T/(p-1)} \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |\beta_{i,j}|_v\}^{\nu_j} \quad (i = 1, \dots, L; k = 1, \dots, L_0).$$

By developping  $\Delta'$  with respect to the last  $L_0$  columns we obtain

$$|\Delta'|_v = |\Delta|_v \leq p^{-L_0 T/(p-1)} \prod_{i=1}^L \prod_{j=1}^n \max\{1, |\beta_{i,j}|_v\}^{\nu_j L}.$$

By the product's formula (using a trivial lower bound for  $v \nmid p$ )

$$1 \leq p^{-L_0 T/(p-1)} L^{L/2} e^{(\nu_1 h_1 + \dots + \nu_n h_n) L}$$

and, using  $L \leq (\nu_{\max} + 1)^n$ ,

$$\nu_1 h_1 + \dots + \nu_n h_n \geq \frac{L_0}{L} \times \frac{T \log p}{p} - \frac{n}{2} \log(\nu_{\max} + 1)$$

and the statement of proposition 2.2 follows. □

### 3 Hypersurfaces.

In this section we are interested in the case of a hypersurface  $V$ . For these varieties we have a “natural” definition of height (which coincide with the previous one) since we can extend the Mahler measure to polynomials in several variables. Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ; we define its Mahler measure as:

$$M(P) = \exp \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log |f(e^{2\pi i t_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i t_n})| dt_1 \dots dt_n.$$

Let now  $K$  be a number field and let  $V$  be an hypersurface in  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  defined over  $K$ :

$$V = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{G}_m^n \text{ such that } f(\alpha) = 0\}$$

for some polynomial  $f \in K[\mathbf{x}]$  (irreducible over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}[\mathbf{x}]$ ). We define:

$$\hat{h}(V) = \frac{1}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in \mathcal{M}_K} [K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v] \log M_v(f),$$

where  $M_v(f)$  is the maximum of the  $v$ -adic absolute values of the coefficients of  $f$  if  $v$  is non archimedean, and  $M_v(f)$  is the Mahler measure of  $\sigma f$  if  $v$  is an archimedean place associated with the embedding  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

We prove:

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  be an hypersurface of multi-degrees  $D_1, \dots, D_n$  and assume that  $V$  is not a translated of a torus. Let  $D_{\max} = \max\{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ . Then, for any prime number  $p \geq 5$ ,*

$$\hat{h}(V) \geq \frac{\log p}{7p} - \frac{nk' \log p}{p^{k'}} - \frac{n \log(n^2 D_{\max})}{2p^{k'}}. \quad (3.5)$$

where  $k'$  is the codimension of the stabilizer of  $V$ .

**Proof.** Since  $V$  is not a translated of a torus,  $k' \geq 2$ . This implies  $n \geq 2$  and  $p^{k'} \geq 9$ .

We assume first that  $p \nmid [\text{Stab}(V) : \text{Stab}(V)^0]$ , so that  $V' = \ker[p]V$  is a union of  $p^{k'}$  translate of  $V$ , and we prove

$$\hat{h}(V) \geq \frac{\log p}{7p} - \frac{nk' \log p}{p^{k'}} - \frac{n \log(n D_{\max})}{2p^{k'}}, \quad (3.6)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and assume  $D_{\max} = D_n$ . The proposition 2.7 of [Amo-Dav 2000] shows that the set

$$S = \{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \alpha) \in V(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1} \text{ roots of unity, } h(\alpha) \leq \hat{h}(V)/D_n + \varepsilon\}$$

is Zariski dense in  $V$ . We apply proposition 2.2 with  $h_1 = \dots = h_{n-1} = 0$  and  $h_n = \hat{h}(V)/D_n + \varepsilon$ . We choose, for  $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$\nu_j = np^{k'} D_j - 1$$

and  $\nu_n = p^{k'} D_n - 1$ . We remark that  $\nu_{\max} = \max\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n\} \leq np^{k'} D_{\max} - 1$ . We also choose  $T = \lceil p^{k'}/2 \rceil$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} H(V, T; \boldsymbol{\nu}) &= (\nu_1 + 1) \cdots (\nu_n + 1) - (\nu_1 - TD_1 + 1) \cdots (\nu_n - D_n + 1) \\ &= n^{n-1} p^{k'n} - \frac{1}{2} \left( n - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n-1} p^{k'n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H(V'; \boldsymbol{\nu}) &= (\nu_1 + 1) \cdots (\nu_n + 1) - (\nu_1 - p^{k'} D_1 + 1) \cdots (\nu_n - p^{k'} D_n + 1) \\ &= n^{n-1} p^{k'n} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$1 - \frac{H(V, T; \boldsymbol{\nu})}{H(V'; \boldsymbol{\nu})} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2n} \right)^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{e}}.$$

Inequality (2.4) now gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n h_n &= (p^{k'} D_n - 1) \left( \frac{\hat{h}(V)}{D_n} + \varepsilon \right) \\ &\geq \frac{T \log p}{2\sqrt{ep}} - \frac{n}{2} \log(\nu_{\max} + 1) \\ &\geq \frac{p^{k'} \log p}{4\sqrt{ep}} - \frac{\log p}{2\sqrt{ep}} - \frac{n}{2} \log(np^{k'} D_{\max}) \\ &\geq \frac{p^{k'} \log p}{7p} - nk' \log p - \frac{n}{2} \log(nD_{\max}). \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $\varepsilon \mapsto 0$  we obtain the lower bound (3.6).

If  $\text{Stab}(V)$  is not connected, by inspection of the proof of proposition 2.4 of [Amo-Dav 2000] we obtain an hypersurface  $W$  with connected stabilizer of the same codimension  $k'$ , multi-degree  $(D'_1, \dots, D'_n)$  with  $D'_j \leq nD_j$  and normalized height  $\hat{h}(W) \leq \hat{h}(V)$ . Therefore, by (3.6),

$$\hat{h}(V) \geq \hat{h}(W) \geq \frac{\log p}{7p} - \frac{nk' \log p}{p^{k'}} - \frac{n \log(n^2 D_{\max})}{2p^{k'}}.$$

□

Let now assume  $k' = n$ , i. e.  $\text{Stab}(V)$  discrete. Choosing  $p = 5$  we obtain:

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  be an hypersurface of multi-degrees  $(D_1, \dots, D_n)$  with discrete stabilizer. Then, if  $n \geq 9$  and*

$$\max D_j \leq 3^{2^n}$$

we have

$$\hat{h}(V) \geq \frac{1}{23} .$$

**Proof.** We apply the proposition above with  $p = 5$ , assuming  $D_{\max} \leq 3^{2^n}$  and  $k' = n$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(V) &\geq \frac{\log 5}{35} - \frac{n^2 \log 5}{5^n} - \frac{n \log(n^2 D_{\max})}{2 \times 5^n} \\ &\geq \frac{\log 5}{35} - \frac{n^2 \log 5}{5^n} - \frac{2n \log n}{2 \times 5^n} - \frac{n 2^n \log 3}{2 \times 5^n} =: f(n) . \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation shows that  $f$  is an increasing function and  $f(9) > 1/23$ . □

As stated in the introduction, we could conjecture that for any geometric irreducible hypersurface  $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  with discrete stabilizer we had  $\hat{h}(V) \geq f(n)$  for some function  $f(n) \rightarrow +\infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This is false, as the the following example prove. Let  $F(x_1) = x_1^3 - x_1 - 1$  and define inductively

$$F_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F^*(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

where  $F^*$  indicated the reciprocal polynomial. Since the rational function

$$R(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \frac{F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}{F^*(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})}$$

satisfy  $|R(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})| = 1$  for  $|z_1| = \dots = |z_{n-1}| = 1$ , we have for any integer  $n$   $M(F_n) = \theta_0$  where  $\theta_0$  is the root  $> 1$  of  $F_1$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $F_n$  is irreducible (over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  if  $n \geq 2$ ) and that  $V_n = \{F_n = 0\}$  has trivial stabilizer.

We conclude this section with a more a general (and technical) lower bound for the normalized height of an hypersurface:

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  be an hypersurface of multi-degrees  $(D_1, \dots, D_n)$  and assume that  $V$  is not a translated of a torus. Then,*

$$\hat{h}(V) \geq \frac{1}{56} \times \max \left( \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{k'}, 1 \right) \times \left( \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{28nk' \log(n^2 D_{\max})} \right)^{1/(k'-1)}$$

where  $k'$  is the codimension of the stabilizer of  $V$  and  $D_{\max} = \max D_j$ . In particular,

$$\hat{h}(V) \geq \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))^2}{6272n \log(n^2 D_{\max})} .$$



**Proof.** Let

$$N = \left( \frac{28nk' \log(n^2 D_{\max})}{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))} \right)^{1/(k'-1)} \quad (3.7)$$

and choose a prime number  $p$  such that  $N \leq p \leq 2N$ . By

$$\log x \leq x^{1/2} \quad (x > 0) \quad (3.8)$$

we have  $\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max})) \leq \log(n(n^2 D_{\max})^{1/2}) \leq \log(n^2 D_{\max})$ ; hence

$$p^{k'-1} \geq 28nk'.$$

We also remark that, again by (3.8),

$$\log p \geq \frac{\log(28n^{1/2}k' \log(n^2 D_{\max})^{1/2})}{k'-1} \geq \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{2k'} \quad (3.9)$$

Therefore,

$$p^{k'-1} \log p \geq 14n \log(n^2 D_{\max}).$$

Thus, by proposition 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(V) &\geq \frac{\log p}{7p} - \frac{nk' \log p}{p^{k'}} - \frac{n \log(n^2 D_{\max})}{2p^{k'}} \\ &\geq \frac{\log p}{7p} - \frac{\log p}{28p} - \frac{\log p}{28p} \\ &= \frac{\log p}{14p}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.9) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(V) &\geq \frac{1}{14} \times \max \left( \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{2k'}, \log 2 \right) \times \frac{1}{2N} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{56} \times \max \left( \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{k'}, 1 \right) \times \left( \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{28nk' \log(n^2 D_{\max})} \right)^{1/(k'-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This prove the first inequality of theorem 3.3. For the second one, we remark that  $k' \geq 2$  and  $k'(nk')^{1/(k-1)} \leq 4n$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(V) &\geq \frac{1}{56} \times \max \left( \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{k'}, 1 \right) \times \left( \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))}{28nk' \log(n^2 D_{\max})} \right)^{1/(k'-1)} \\ &\geq \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))^2}{56 \times 28 \times 4n \log(n^2 D_{\max})} \\ &= \frac{\log(n \log(n^2 D_{\max}))^2}{6272n \log(n^2 D_{\max})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 4 Essential minimum.

In this section we prove the following theorem, which slightly improve theorem 1.4 of [Amo-Dav 2003]:

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $V$  be a subvariety of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  of codimension  $k < n$ . Then either there exists a translate  $B$  of a subgroup such that  $V \subseteq B \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  and*

$$\deg(B)^{1/\text{codim}(B)} \leq (250n^3 \log(2n\omega(V)))^{\lambda(k)+1} \omega(V)$$

or

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) \geq (2400n^4 \log(2n\omega(V)))^{-\lambda(k)} \omega(V)^{-1}$$

where  $\lambda(k) = \frac{k+1}{k}((k+1)^k - 1) - 1 \leq n^n - 3$ .

Proposition 2.1 gives the following result:

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $V$  be a subvariety of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  et let  $\omega = \omega(V)$ . Let also  $p$  be a prime,  $3 \leq p \leq \omega$  and assume :*

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) < \frac{\log p}{10np\omega}.$$

Then,

$$\omega([p]V) \leq \frac{18n^2\omega \log(5n\omega)}{\log p}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $h$  such that  $\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) < h < \frac{\log p}{10np\omega}$  and let

$$S = \{\alpha \in V, \quad h(\alpha) < h\}.$$

Thus  $H(S, T; \nu) = H(V, T; \nu)$  and  $H(\ker[p] \cdot S; \nu) = H(\ker[p] \cdot V; \nu)$ . Let us define

$$T = \left\lceil \frac{7np \log(5n\omega)}{\log p} \right\rceil$$

and  $\nu = (2n+1)\omega T$ . We first show that there exists a non zero polynomial  $F \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  of total degree  $\leq \nu$ , vanishing on  $\ker[p]V$ . Since  $3 \leq p \leq \omega$ , we have

$$\nu + 1 \leq 3n\omega \cdot 7np \cdot 5n\omega + 1 \leq (5n\omega)^3$$

and  $T \log p \geq 6np \log(5n\omega)$ . Thus inequality (2.3) of proposition 2.1, i. e.  $T \log p \geq 2np \log(\nu + 1)$ , is satisfied. We also have

$$\frac{T \log p}{4p\nu} = \frac{\log p}{4p(2n+1)\omega} > h.$$

By proposition 2.1, we must have

$$H(\ker[p] \cdot V; \nu) < 2H(V, T; \nu) \leq 2 \left( \binom{\nu+n}{n} - \binom{\nu-\omega T+n}{n} \right).$$

We remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{\nu+n}{n} \binom{\nu-\omega T+n}{n}^{-1} &= \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\nu+j}{\nu-\omega T+j} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\omega T}{\nu-\omega T}\right)^n \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n}\right)^n \leq \sqrt{e} < 2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$H(\ker[p] \cdot V; \nu) < \binom{\nu+n}{n},$$

*i. e.* there exists a non zero polynomial  $F \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  vanishing on  $\ker[p]V$  of total degree  $\leq \nu$ . By the zero's lemma of P. Philippon (see [Phi 1986]), there exists a variety  $Z$  containing  $V$  such that

$$\deg(\ker[p]Z) \leq \nu^{\text{codim}(Z)}.$$

Indeed, let  $W$  be the algebraic set defined by the equations  $F(\zeta \mathbf{x}) = 0$  for  $\zeta \in \ker[p]$ . Since  $F$  vanishes on  $\ker[p]V$ , there exists a geometrically irreducible component  $Z$  of  $W$  containing  $V$ . Since  $W$  is stable by translation by  $p$ -torsion points, all  $\zeta V$  are components of  $W$  for  $\zeta \in \ker[p]$ . Proposition 3.3 of [Phi 1986] (with  $p = 1$ ,  $N_1 = n$  and  $D_1 = \nu$ ) then gives the desired upper bound for  $\deg(\ker[p]Z)$ .

Since

$$\deg(\ker[p]Z) = \deg([p]^{-1}[p]Z) = p^{\text{codim}(Z)} \deg([p]Z)$$

we obtain

$$\omega([p]V) \leq \deg([p]Z)^{1/\text{codim}(Z)} \leq p^{-1}\nu.$$

We finally remark that

$$\frac{1}{p}\nu \leq \frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{5}{2}n\omega \cdot \frac{7np \log(5n\omega)}{\log p} < \frac{18n^2\omega \log(5n\omega)}{\log p}.$$

□

In order to prove theorem 4.1 we need, as in [Amo-Dav 2003], a descent argument. In what follows we fix a geometrically irreducible subvariety  $V \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  of dimension  $k < n$  (thus  $n \geq 2$ ) and we let  $\omega = \omega(V)$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, k$  let  $\rho_j = (k+1)^{k-j+1} - 1$  and  $P_j = (2\Delta)^{\rho_j}$  where  $\Delta = Cn^3 \log(2n\omega)$  and  $C = 120$ .

The following elementary relations will be used several time

**Lemma 4.3** *We have:*

*i)*  $\log(2n\omega) > 1$  and  $\Delta > 960$ .

ii) For  $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$  we have

$$\sum_{l=j+1}^k \rho_l = (k+1) \frac{(k+1)^{k-j} - 1}{k} - (k-j).$$

**Definition 4.4** Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the set of triples  $(s, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W})$ , where  $s \in [0, k]$  is an integer,  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$  is a  $s$ -tuple of prime numbers with  $P_i/2 \leq p_i \leq P_i$ , and where  $\mathbf{W} = (W_0, \dots, W_s)$  is a  $(s+1)$ -tuple of strict geometrically irreducible subvarieties  $\subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^n$ , satisfying:

i)  $V \subseteq W_0$ . Moreover, for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ ,

$$[p_i]W_{i-1} \subseteq W_i \quad \text{and} \quad p_i \nmid [\text{Stab}(W_{i-1}) : \text{Stab}(W_{i-1})^0];$$

ii) For  $i = 0, \dots, s$

$$\deg(W_i)^{1/\text{codim}(W_i)} \leq \Delta^{k-i} p_{i+1} \cdots p_k \omega([p_1 \dots p_i]V);$$

iii) For  $i = 1, \dots, s$

$$\omega([p_1 \dots p_i]V) \leq \Delta \omega([p_1 \dots p_{i-1}]V).$$

**Remark 4.5** Let  $(s, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{W}$  and assume  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq s$ . Then

$$\omega([p_1 \dots p_j]V) \leq \Delta^{j-i} \omega([p_1 \dots p_i]V).$$

We want to prove that there exists  $(s, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{W}$ , such that  $\dim(W_{i-1}) = \dim(W_i)$  for at least one index  $i$ . Let

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = \{(s, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{W}, \text{ such that } \dim(W_0) < \dim(W_1) < \dots < \dim(W_s)\}.$$

**Proposition 4.6** Assume

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) < \left(10n\Delta^{k-1}P_1 \cdots P_k \omega\right)^{-1}. \quad (4.10)$$

Then  $\mathcal{W}_0 \neq \mathcal{W}$ .

In order to prove proposition 4.6, we endow the set of finite sequences of integers with the following (total) order  $\preceq$ . Let  $(v) = (v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq s}$  and  $(v') = (v'_j)_{0 \leq j \leq s'}$  two such sequences. Then  $(v) \preceq (v')$  if

$$(v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq \min\{s, s'\}} < (v'_i)_{0 \leq i \leq \min\{s, s'\}}$$

for the lexicographical order, or if  $(v_i)_{0 \leq i \leq \min\{s, s'\}} = (v'_i)_{0 \leq i \leq \min\{s, s'\}}$  and  $s \geq s'$ .

We also need the following technical lemma:

**Lemma 4.7** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_1, \dots, p_s, p_{s+1}$  positive integers,  $W_0, \dots, W_s \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  geometrically irreducible subvarieties. Let us assume  $V \subseteq W_0$  and  $[p_i]W_{i-1} \subseteq W_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then, there exists an integer  $s' \in [0, s+1]$  and a geometrically irreducible subvariety  $Z_{s'}$  of degree*

$$\deg(Z_{s'}) \leq p_{s'+1} \dots p_{s+1} \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V) \deg(W_{s'}) , \quad (4.11)$$

*such that  $[p_{s'}]W_{s'-1} \subseteq Z_{s'}$ ,  $\text{codim}(Z_{s'}) = \text{codim}(W_{s'}) + 1$  (with the following convention:  $\text{codim}(W_{s+1}) = 0$ ,  $\deg(W_{s+1}) = 1$ ,  $W_{-1} = V$  and  $p_0 = 1$ ) and:*

$$(\dim(W_0), \dots, \dim(W_{s'-1}), \dim(Z_{s'})) \prec (\dim(W_0), \dots, \dim(W_s)) . \quad (4.12)$$

**Proof.** Let  $Z_{s+1}$  be an hypersurface containing  $[p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V$  of minimal degree  $\omega([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V)$ . Thus if  $s' = s+1$  (4.11) is satisfied. We construct by induction subvarieties  $Z_0, \dots, Z_s$  such that, for  $i = 0, \dots, s$ ,

- i)  $Z_i \subseteq W_i$  and  $Z_i \neq W_i \Rightarrow \text{codim}(Z_i) = \text{codim}(W_i) + 1$ .
- ii)  $[p_{i+1} \dots p_{s+1}]Z_i \subseteq Z_{s+1}$ .
- iii)  $[p_{i+1}]Z_i \subseteq Z_{i+1}$ .
- iv)  $\deg(Z_i) \leq p_{i+1} \dots p_{s+1} \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V) \deg(W_i)$ .

We start by the construction of  $Z_0$ . If  $[p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]W_0 \subseteq Z_{s+1}$ , we set  $Z_0 = W_0$ . Otherwise we choose for  $Z_0$  a geometrically irreducible component of maximal dimension of  $W_0 \cap [p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]^{-1}Z_{s+1}$  containing  $V$ . By Bézout's inequality we have:

$$\deg(Z_0) \leq \deg(W_0) \deg([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]^{-1}Z_{s+1}) \leq p_1 \dots p_{s+1} \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V) \deg(W_0) .$$

Let now  $i \in [0, s-1]$  be an integer and assume that  $Z_0, \dots, Z_i$  satisfy conditions i)–iv). If

$$[p_{i+2} \dots p_{s+1}]W_{i+1} \subseteq Z_{s+1} ,$$

we set  $Z_{i+1} = W_{i+1}$ . Otherwise we choose for  $Z_{i+1}$  a geometrically irreducible component of maximal dimension of  $[p_{i+2} \dots p_{s+1}]^{-1}Z_{s+1} \cap W_{i+1}$  containing  $[p_{i+1}]Z_i$ . We can do this, since  $[p_{i+1}]W_i \subseteq W_{i+1}$  (by assumption)  $Z_i \subseteq W_i$  (by induction i)) and since

$$[p_{i+1} \dots p_{s+1}]Z_i \subseteq Z_{s+1}$$

(by induction i)). The variety  $Z_{i+1}$  verify conditions i)–iii). As before, by Bézout's inequality we have:

$$\deg(Z_{i+1}) \leq p_{i+2} \dots p_{s+1} \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V) \deg(W_{i+1}) .$$

and the variety  $Z_{i+1}$  also verify condition iv).

We now choose the integer  $s'$ . We define  $s'$  as the least integer  $i$  such that  $Z_i \subsetneq W_i$ , if such an integer exists. Otherwise we set  $s' = s+1$ . We remark that in both cases (4.12) holds.

□

**Proof of proposition 4.6.** The set  $\mathcal{W}_0$  is a finite non-empty set (indeed, let  $W_0$  be an hypersurface of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  containing  $V$  of degree  $\omega$ ; then  $(0, \emptyset, (W_0)) \in \mathcal{W}_0$ ). Thus, there exists a minimal element  $(s, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{W}_0$ , *i. e.*

$$(\dim W_i)_{0 \leq i \leq s} \preccurlyeq (\dim W'_i)_{0 \leq i \leq s'}.$$

for all  $(s', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{W}') \in \mathcal{W}_0$ . We remark that  $s \leq k - 1$ , since

$$n - k = \dim(V) \leq \dim(W_0) < \dim(W_1) < \dots < \dim(W_s) \leq n - 1.$$

We need the following computation:

**Lemma 4.8** *There exists a prime  $p_{s+1}$  such that  $P_{s+1}/2 \leq p_{s+1} \leq P_{s+1}$  and*

$$p_{s+1} \nmid [\text{Stab}(W_s) : \text{Stab}(W_s)^0].$$

**Proof.** By Theorems 9 and 10 of [Ros-Sch 1962],  $\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \leq 1.02x$  for  $x \geq 1$  and  $\sum_{p \leq x} \log p \geq 0.84x$  for  $x \geq 101$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P_{s+1}/2 \leq p \leq P_{s+1}} \log p &\geq (0.84 - 1.02/2)P_{s+1} \\ &> P_{s+1}/4. \end{aligned}$$

If for any prime  $p$  with  $P_{s+1}/2 \leq p \leq P_{s+1}$  we had  $p \mid [\text{Stab}(W_s) : \text{Stab}(W_s)^0]$ , then

$$2 \log \deg(W_s) \geq P_{s+1}/4,$$

since  $\deg(\text{Stab}(W_s)) \leq \deg(W_s)^2$ . By assertion ii) of definition 4.4 and by remark 4.5, we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \log \deg(W_s) &\leq \text{codim}(W_s) \left( k \log(\Delta) + \sum_{j=s+1}^k \log P_j + \log(\omega) \right) \\ &\leq k \left( (k + \sum_{j=s+1}^k \log \rho_j) \log(2\Delta) + \log \omega \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality  $\log x < x^{1/3}$  ( $x > 100$ ) with  $x = 2\Delta$  (see lemma 4.3 i)) we obtain

$$\log \deg(W_s) \leq k(k+1 + \sum_{j=s+1}^k \log \rho_j) (2Cn^3)^{1/3} \log(2n\omega).$$

Since  $s \leq k - 1$ , we have, using lemma 4.3 ii),

$$\begin{aligned} k(k+1 + \sum_{j=s+1}^k \log \rho_j) &= k(k+1) + (k+1)^{k-s+1} - (k+1) - k(k-s) \\ &= (k+1)^{k-s+1} + ks - 1 \\ &\leq 2(k+1)^{2(k-s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by setting  $a = (k + 1)^{(k-s)} \geq 2$ ,

$$2 \log \deg(W_s) \leq 4a^2(2Cn^3)^{1/3} \log(2n\omega)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{s+1}/4}{2 \log \deg(W_s)} &\geq \frac{(2Cn^3 \log(2n\omega))^{a-1}}{16a^2(2Cn^3)^{1/3} \log(2n\omega)} \\ &\geq \frac{(16C)^{a-4/3}}{16a^2} =: f(a). \end{aligned}$$

An easy computation shows that  $f(a) \geq f(2) > 1$ . Contradiction. □

By the previous lemma, there exists a prime number  $p_{s+1} \in [P_{s+1}/2, P_{s+1}]$  such that  $p_{s+1} \nmid [\text{Stab}(W_s) : \text{Stab}(W_s)^0]$ . We want to apply proposition 4.2 to the variety  $V' = [p_1 \dots p_s]V$  choosing  $p = p_{s+1}$ . We have

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V') \leq p_1 \dots p_s \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V)$$

and, by iii) of definition 4.4

$$\omega(V') \leq \Delta^s \omega(V).$$

Thus, by assumption (4.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(V') \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V') &\leq \Delta^s p_1 \dots p_s \omega \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) \\ &< (10nP_{s+1})^{-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\log p_{s+1}}{10np_{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.2 shows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([p_{s+1}]V') &\leq \frac{18n^2 \log(5n\omega(V'))}{\log p_{s+1}} \omega([p_1 \dots p_s]V) \\ &\leq 18n^2 \log(5n\omega(V')) \omega(V'). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s \leq k - 1 \leq n$ , we have, using remark 4.5,

$$5n\omega(V') \leq 5n\Delta^s \omega \leq ((C\sqrt{5}/32)(2n\omega)^5)^n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta - 18n^2 \log(5n\omega(V')) &\geq Cn^3 \log(2n\omega) - 18n^3 \log((C\sqrt{5}/32)(2n\omega)^5) \\ &\geq n^3((C - 18 \times 5) \log(4) - 18 \log(C\sqrt{5}/32)) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\omega([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V) = \omega([p_{s+1}]V') \leq \Delta\omega(V') = \Delta\omega([p_1 \dots p_s]V) .$$

We apply now lemme 4.7. We obtain an integer  $s'$  such that  $0 \leq s' \leq s+1 \leq k$  and a subvariety  $Z_{s'}$  satisfying the properties described in this lemma. We want to show that

$$(s', (p_1, \dots, p_{s'}), (W_0, \dots, W_{s'-1}, Z_{s'})) \in \mathcal{W} .$$

All conditions i)–iii) of definition 4.4 are trivially verified, except eventually for the upper bound of  $\deg(Z_{s'})$ . Using inequality (4.11) of lemma 4.7, the upper bound for the degree of  $W_{s'}$  (point ii) of definition 4.4), remark 4.5 and the relation  $\text{codim}(Z_{s'}) = \text{codim}(W_{s'+1}) + 1$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(Z_{s'}) &\leq p_{s'+1} \dots p_{s+1} \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s+1}]V) \deg(W_{s'}) \\ &\leq p_{s'+1} \dots p_{s+1} \Delta^{s-s'+1} \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s'}]V) \deg(W_{s'}) \\ &\leq \Delta^{k-s'} p_{s'+1} \dots p_k \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s'}]V) \deg(W_{s'}) \\ &\leq \left( \Delta^{k-s'} p_{s'+1} \dots p_k \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s'}]V) \right)^{1+\text{codim}(W_{s'+1})} \\ &\leq \left( \Delta^{k-s'} p_{s'+1} \dots p_k \omega([p_1 \dots p_{s'}]V) \right)^{\text{codim}(Z_{s'})} . \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(s', (p_1, \dots, p_{s'}), (W_0, \dots, W_{s'-1}, Z_{s'})) \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since

$$(\dim(W_0), \dots, \dim(W_{s'-1}), \dim(Z_{s'})) \prec (\dim(W_0), \dots, \dim(W_s))$$

by relation (4.12) of lemma 4.7 and since  $(s, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W})$  is a minimal element of  $\mathcal{W}_0$ , we deduce that:

$$(s', (p_1, \dots, p_{s'}), (W_0, \dots, W_{s'-1}, Z_{s'})) \notin \mathcal{W}_0 .$$

□

#### 4.1 Proof of theorem 4.1

Let  $V$  be a geometrically irreducible subvariety of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  of codimension  $k < n$  which satisfy the assumption of proposition 4.6. By this proposition, there exists  $(s, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{W}) \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{W}_0$ . Thus there exists an index  $i$  such that

$$\text{codim}(W_{i-1}) = \text{codim}(W_i) = r, \quad [p_i]W_{i-1} \subseteq W_i, \quad [p_1 \dots p_{i-1}]V \subseteq W_i ;$$

and  $p_i \nmid [\text{Stab}(W_{i-1}) : \text{Stab}(W_{i-1})^0]$ .



Assume first that  $W_i$  is a translate of a subtorus. Then the same is true for the connected component  $B$  of  $[p_1 \dots p_i]^{-1}W_i$  containing  $V$  and we have, using ii) of definition 4.4 and remark 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} (\deg B)^{1/\text{codim}(B)} &\leq (p_1 \dots p_i)^{1/r} \Delta^k p_{i+1} \dots p_k \\ &\leq \Delta^k P_1 \dots P_k \\ &\leq (2\Delta)^{\lambda(k)+1} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda(k) + 1 = k + \sum_{j=1}^k \rho_j = \frac{k+1}{k} ((k+1)^k - 1) .$$

Assume now that  $W_i$  is not a translate of a subtorus. Thus

$$p_i \deg(W_{i-1}) \leq \deg(W_i) .$$

Since  $W_{i-1} \supseteq [p_1 \dots p_{i-1}]V$ , we have, using ii) and iii) of definition 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega([p_1 \dots p_{i-1}]V) &\leq (\deg(W_{i-1}))^{1/r} \\ &\leq p_i^{-1/r} (\deg(W_i))^{1/r} \\ &\leq p_i^{-1/r} \Delta^{k-i} p_{i+1} \dots p_k \omega([p_1 \dots p_i]V) \\ &\leq p_i^{-1/r} \Delta^{k-i} p_{i+1} \dots p_k \times \Delta \omega([p_1 \dots p_{i-1}]V) . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r \leq k$  and  $P_i/2 \leq p_i \leq P_i$ , we get :

$$\begin{aligned} p_i^{-1/r} \Delta^{k-i} p_{i+1} \dots p_k \Delta &\leq P_i^{-1/k} 2^{1/k} \Delta^{k-i+1} P_{i+1} \dots P_k \\ &< P_i^{-1/k} (2\Delta)^{k-i+1} P_{i+1} \dots P_k \\ &= (2\Delta)^b \end{aligned}$$

where (see lemma 4.3 ii))

$$\begin{aligned} b &= -\frac{\rho_i}{k} + k - i + 1 + \sum_{j=i+1}^k \rho_j \\ &= -\frac{(k+1)^{k-i+1} - 1}{k} + (k - i + 1) + (k+1) \frac{(k+1)^{k-i} - 1}{k} - (k - i) \\ &= 0 . \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(V) \geq \left(10n\Delta^{k-1}P_1 \cdots P_k \omega(V)\right)^{-1}.$$

We finally remark that

$$10n\Delta^{k-1}P_1 \cdots P_k \leq (20n\Delta)^{\lambda(k)}.$$

Theorem 4.1 is proved. □

## 5 Petit points.

Given an algebraic set  $V \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  we define, following [Bom-Zan 1995] and [Sch 1996],

$$V^0 = V \setminus \bigcup_{B \subseteq V} B.$$

where the union is on the set of translates  $B$  of subgroups of positive dimension contained in  $V$ . In this section we prove a slightly improved version of theorem 1.5 of [Amo-Dav 2006]:

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $V \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  be an algebraic set defined by equations of degree  $\leq \delta$ . Then, for all but finitely many  $\alpha \in V^0$  we have*

$$\hat{h}(\alpha) \geq \theta := (2400n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{-n^2+3} \delta^{-1}.$$

*More precisely, the set of  $\alpha \in V$  of height  $< \theta$  is contained in a finite union  $B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_m$  of translate of subtori such that*

$$\deg(B_j) \leq (250n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{(2n)^n} \delta^{2^{\text{codim}(B_j)-1}}$$

**Proof.**

It is enough to prove the following statement:

*Let  $V \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  be an algebraic set defined by equations of degree  $\leq \delta$  and let  $Z$  be a geometrically irreducible subvariety of  $V$  of positive dimension, satisfying*

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z) \leq (2400n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{-n^2+3} \delta^{-1}. \quad (5.13)$$

*Then, there exists a translate  $B$  of a subtorus of codimension  $r$  such that  $Z \subseteq B \subseteq V$  and*

$$\deg(B) \leq (250n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{(2n)^n} \delta^{2^r-1}.$$

We prove this last statement by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 2$  it is easily implied by theorem 4.1. Assume  $n \geq 3$  and that the conclusion holds for all algebraic set defined by equations of degree  $\leq \delta'$  in  $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}$ . Assume further that there exists a positive integer  $\delta$ , an algebraic set  $V \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^n$  defined by equations of degree  $\leq \delta$  and a geometrically irreducible subvariety  $Z$  of  $V$  which satisfies (5.13). Let  $k = \text{codim}(Z)$ . In particular, since  $\omega(Z) \leq \delta$  and  $\lambda(k) \leq n^n - 3$ , theorem 4.1 gives a translate  $B = \alpha H$  of codimension  $k'$  containing  $Z$ , and such that

$$(\deg(B))^{1/k'} \leq (250n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{n^n - 2} \delta. \quad (5.14)$$

We can assume  $\alpha \in Z$  and  $\hat{h}(\alpha) \leq 2\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z)$ ; thus we have :

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(\alpha^{-1}Z) \leq \hat{h}(\alpha^{-1}) + \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z) \leq n\hat{h}(\alpha) + \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z) \leq 3n\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z). \quad (5.15)$$

We now fix a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -base  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_{k'}$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module

$$\Lambda := \left\{ \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \text{ t.q. } \forall \mathbf{x} \in H, \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = 1 \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$$

and we consider the  $n \times k'$  matrix  $A = (a_{i,j})$ . Let  $E = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ . Then (see for instance [Ber-Phi 1988]) the degree of  $H$  is the maximum of the absolute values of the  $k' \times k'$  subdeterminants of  $A$ , and  $\text{Vol}(E/\Lambda)$  is their quadratic mean. Thus

$$\text{Vol}(E/\Lambda) \leq \binom{n}{k'}^{1/2} \deg(B) \leq n^{k'} \deg(B).$$

Let us consider the cube  $[-1/2, 1/2]^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ; by a theorem of Vaaler (see [Vaaler 1979])

$$\text{Vol}(C \cap E) \geq 1.$$

Thus, by Minkowski's theorem on convex bodies, there exists a non-zero  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda$  such that:

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|\lambda_i|\} \leq n \deg(B)^{1/k'}.$$

Since  $H$  is connected, we can assume  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  coprime and also  $\lambda_n = D$ . Then the equation

$$\mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = 1$$

defines a subtorus  $H' \supseteq H$  of codimension 1 and degree

$$D \leq n \deg(B)^{1/k'} \leq (2n)^{-2} (250n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{n^n} \delta. \quad (5.16)$$

If  $\alpha H' \subseteq V$  we are done. Assume the contrary. We consider the isogeny  $\mathbb{G}_m^{n-1} \rightarrow H'$  defined by

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \left( x_1^{\lambda_n}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_n}, x_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{-\lambda_{n-1}} \right).$$

We remark that, for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}$ ,

$$h(\varphi(\beta)) \geq h(\beta^{\lambda_n}) = \lambda_n h(\beta) = Dh(\beta). \quad (5.17)$$

Let

$$V' = \varphi^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}V \cap H) \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}$$

Since  $\alpha H' \not\subseteq V$  we have  $V' \subsetneq \mathbb{G}_m^{n-1}$ . Moreover, let  $F_j(\mathbf{x})$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) be equations defining  $V$ ; then  $V'$  is defined by the equations

$$F_j(x_1^{\lambda_n}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{\lambda_n}, x_1^{-\lambda_1} \dots x_{n-1}^{-\lambda_{n-1}}) = 0$$

of degree

$$\leq \delta' = \max\{\lambda_n, |\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1}|\}\delta \leq nD\delta.$$

Let  $Z'$  be a geometrically irreducible component of  $\varphi^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}Z \cap H) \subseteq V'$ . We have, by (5.17) and (5.15),

$$D\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z') \leq \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(\varphi(Z')) = \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(\alpha^{-1}Z) \leq 3n\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z).$$

Using the upper bound for  $\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z)$  and the inequality  $\delta' \leq nD\delta$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z') &\leq 3nD^{-1}(2400n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{-n^2+3}\delta^{-1} \\ &\leq 3n^2(2400n^3 \log(2n\delta))^{-n^2+3}\delta'^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequalities  $\delta' \leq nD\delta$ , (5.16) and  $\log x < x$  we get

$$2n\delta' \leq 2n^2D\delta \leq (250n^3 \cdot 2n\delta)^{n^2} \delta \leq (2n\delta)^{(250n^3)^{n-1}}. \quad (5.18)$$

Thus

$$(2400(n-1)^3 \log(2(n-1)\delta'))^{(n-1)^{n-1}-3} \leq (3n^2)^{-1}(2400n^3)^a \log(2n\delta)^{n^2-3}$$

where

$$a = 1 + n((n-1)^{n-1} - 3) \leq n^n - 3.$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{ess}}(Z') \leq (2400(n-1)^3 \log(2(n-1)\delta'))^{-(n-1)^{n-1}+3}\delta'^{-1}$$

By induction there exists a translate  $B' \subseteq V'$  of a subtorus of codimension  $r'$  such that  $Z' \subseteq B'$  and

$$\deg(B') \leq (250n^3 \log(2n\delta'))^{(2n)^{n-1}} \delta'^{2^{r'}-1}.$$

Let for brevity  $K = 250n^3 \log(2n\delta)$ . From the inequalities (5.18) and  $\delta' \leq nD\delta$  we get

$$\deg(B') \leq K^{2^{n-1}n^n} (nD\delta)^{2^{r'}-1}.$$

Then  $Z = \alpha\varphi(Z') \subseteq \varphi(B') \subseteq V$ ,  $r = \text{codim } \varphi(B') = r' + 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \varphi(B') &\leq D \deg(B') \\ &\leq K^{2^{n-1}n^n} n^{2^{r'}-1} D^{2^{r'}} \delta^{2^{r'}-1} \\ &\leq K^{2^{n-1}n^n+2^{r'}n^n} \delta^{2^{r'}+1-1} \\ &\leq K^{(2n)^n} \delta^{2^r-1} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the upper bound (5.16) for  $D$ .

□

**Remark 5.2** In [Amo-Dav 2006], theorem 1.5 we assume that  $V$  is geometrically irreducible (which is not necessary) and that  $V$  is incompletely defined by forms of degree  $\leq \delta$ , *i. e.* it is a component of a complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degree  $\leq \delta$ . Unfortunately, there is a mistake in the proof: at page 561, point (a), we cannot ensure that  $V'$  is incompletely defined by forms of degree  $\leq nD\delta$ . The problem is the following: if  $V$  is incompletely defined by forms of degree  $\leq \delta$ ,  $Z$  is an hypersurface of degree  $\leq \delta$  which not contains  $V$ , then an irreducible component of  $V \cap Z$  is not a *priori* incompletely defined by forms of degree  $\leq \delta$ .

## References

- [Amo-Dav 1999] F. Amoroso et S. David. “Le problème de Lehmer en dimension supérieure”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **513**, 145-179 (1999).
- [Amo-Dav 2000] F. Amoroso et S. David. “Minoration de la hauteur normalisée des hypersurfaces”, *Acta Arith.* **92** (2000), 4, 340-366.
- [Amo-Dav 2001] F. Amoroso et S. David. “Densité des points à coordonnées multiplicativement indépendantes”, *Ramanujan J.* **5**, 237-246 (2001).
- [Amo-Dav 2003] F. Amoroso et S. David. “Minoration de la hauteur normalisée dans un tore”, *Journal de l’Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu*, **2** (2003), no. 3, 335-381.
- [Amo-Dav 2006] F. Amoroso et S. David. “Points de petite hauteur sur une sous-varité d’un tore”, *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006), 551-562.
- [Amo-Zan 2000] F. Amoroso and U. Zannier. “A relative Dobrowolski’s lower bound over abelian extensions”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **29** (2000), no 3, 711-727.

- [Ber-Phi 1988] D. BERTRAND et P. PHILIPPON. “Sous-groupes algébriques de groupes algébriques commutatifs. III”. *J. Math.*, t. **32**, pages 263–280, 1988.
- [Bom-Zan 1995] E. Bombieri and U. Zannier. “Algebraic points on subvarieties of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ ”. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **7** (1995), 333-347.
- [Cha] M. Chardin – “Une majoration de la fonction de Hilbert et ses conséquences pour l’interpolation algébrique”, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, **117**, 305–318, (1988).
- [Cha-Phi] M. Chardin et P. Philippon – “Régularité et interpolation”, *J. Algebr. Geom.*, **8**, no. 3, 471–481, (1999) ; *erratum*, *ibidem*, **11**, 599–600, (2002).
- [Dav-Phi 1999] S. David et P. Philippon. “Minorations des hauteurs normalisées des sous-variétés des tores”. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, **xxviii** (1999), no. 3, 489-543; Errata, *ibidem* **xxix** (2000), no 3, 729-731.
- [Leh 1933] D. H. Lehmer. “Factorization of certain cyclotomic functions”, *Ann. of Math.* **34** (1933), 461-479.
- [Lau 1984] M. Laurent. “Equations diophantiennes exponentielles”. *Invent. Math.* **78** (1984), 299-327.
- [Law 1983] W. Lawton. “A Problem of Boyd concerning Geometric Means of Polynomial”. *J. of Number Theory*, **16** (1983), 356-362.
- [Phi 1986] P. Philippon. “Lemmes de zéros dans les groupes algébriques commutatifs”. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **114** (1986), 353-383.
- [Pon 2005] C. Pontreau “Geometric lower bounds for the normalized height of hypersurfaces”. *International Journal of Number Theory*, to appear.
- [Ros-Sch 1962] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld. “Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers”. *Ill. J. Math.*, **6** (1962), 64–94.
- [Sch 1991] W. M. Schmidt. *Diophantine approximation and Diophantine equations*. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, t. **1467**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New-York, viii & 217 pages, 1991.
- [Sch 1996] W. M. Schmidt. “Heights of points on subvarieties of  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$ ”. In “Number Theory 93-94”, S. David editor, London Math. Soc. Ser., volume 235, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [Vaaler 1979] J. D. Vaaler. “A geometric inequality with applications to linear forms”. *Pacific J. Math.*, t. **83** n°2, pages 543–553, 1979.
- [Zha 1995] S. Zhang. “Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8** (1995), no. 1, 187-221.