

Notes on a converse to the Sunada theorem for regular graphs

Gregory Quenell

1 Introduction

A popular way to construct pairs of isospectral regular graphs is via the Sunada construction.¹ We find a finite group G with two almost-conjugate subgroups H_1 and H_2 and construct a graph Γ on which G acts by automorphisms with no fixed vertices. The quotient graphs $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ will then be isospectral, by the well-known Theorem 2 below.

Robert Brooks raised the question whether all pairs of isospectral regular graphs arise in this way, and provided a partial answer in [1].

In this note, we examine two independent proofs of Theorem 2 and consider what they have to tell us about a possible converse.

2 Notation and definitions

Let Γ be a finite, undirected graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)$. Suppose $|V(\Gamma)| = N$. Consider the vector space $\mathbb{C}^{V(\Gamma)}$ of complex-valued functions on the vertices of Γ . The *adjacency operator* A_Γ is a linear map from $\mathbb{C}^{V(\Gamma)}$ to itself given by

$$A_\Gamma \varphi(v) = \sum_{w \sim v} \varphi(w) \tag{1}$$

¹We are grateful to Bill Hoffman for pointing out that the Sunada construction, Sunada triples, and so on are also known by other names, such as Gassmann.

(for $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{V(\Gamma)}$) where the notation $w \sim v$ means that vertex w is joined to vertex v by an edge. The operator A_Γ is easily seen to be self-adjoint, and so it has N real eigenvalues (counted with multiplicity). The eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N$ will be called the *adjacency spectrum* (or just the *spectrum*) of Γ .

Two graphs whose adjacency spectra are identical will be called *isospectral*.

Suppose now that we have a group H of automorphisms of Γ , acting without any fixed points. We form the *quotient graph* $H \backslash \Gamma$ in the usual way: Each vertex of $H \backslash \Gamma$ corresponds to an orbit $Hv \subset V(\Gamma)$ under the action of H on $V(\Gamma)$. Two orbits Hv and Hw are joined by an edge in $H \backslash \Gamma$ if and only if there is some $h \in H$ for which $hv \sim w$ in Γ .

3 Group representations and Sunada triples

Let G be a finite group, and let H be a subgroup of G . Let $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$ be a system of right coset representatives for H in G . Let G/H denote the set of actual right cosets: $G/H = \{Hg_1, Hg_2, \dots, Hg_s\}$.

The group G acts on the set G/H by right multiplication. This action is used to define a representation of G in $\mathbb{C}^{G/H}$ called the *quasi-regular representation of G on the cosets of H* . We will denote it as π_H^G . We remark that π_H^G can be written as $\text{Ind}_H^G 1_H$, where 1_H denotes the trivial representation on H .

Two subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G will be called *representation equivalent* if $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent representations of G .

The same situation may be described in terms of conjugacy classes, as follows:

Let G be a finite group, and suppose H_1 and H_2 are subgroups of G . The triple (G, H_1, H_2) is called a *Sunada triple* if each conjugacy class in G intersects H_1 and H_2 in the same number of elements.

The following standard theorem says that representation equivalence and the Sunada condition are in fact equivalent.

Theorem 1 *Let G be a finite group. Let H_1 and H_2 be subgroups of G . Then*

(G, H_1, H_2) is a Sunada triple if and only if $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent.

Proof We'll adopt the following convention in the proof. Let P be a proposition. Then $\delta(P)$ will be given by

$$\delta(P) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P \text{ is true} \\ 0 & \text{if } P \text{ is false} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Let G be a finite group, and H a subgroup of G . Let $G_R = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s\}$ be a system of right coset representatives for H in G . Then for each x in G , we have

$$\text{Tr}(\pi_H^G(x)) = \sum_{g_i \in G_R} \delta(Hg_i x = Hg_i) \quad (3)$$

Now write $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s\}$ and observe that $Hh_j = H$ for every j , so that

$$\sum_{h_j \in H} \sum_{g_i \in G_R} \delta(Hh_j g_i x = Hh_j g_i) = \sum_{h_j \in H} \sum_{g_i \in G_R} \delta(Hg_i x = Hg_i) \quad (4)$$

The sum on the left in (4) is the same as

$$\sum_{g \in G} \delta(Hgx = Hg)$$

and the sum on the right is simply $|H|$ times the expression on the right side of (3). Thus we have

$$|H| \text{Tr}(\pi_H^G(x)) = \sum_{g \in G} \delta(Hgx = Hg) \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{g \in G} \delta(gxg^{-1} \in H). \quad (6)$$

Now as g runs through G , the expression gxg^{-1} hits every conjugate of x exactly $|C(x)|$ times, where $C(x)$ denotes the centralizer of x in G . Applying this to the right side of (6), we get

$$|H| \text{Tr}(\pi_H^G(x)) = |C(x)| \sum_{y \in [x]} \delta(y \in H) \quad (7)$$

$$= |C(x)| \cdot \#([x] \cap H). \quad (8)$$

Suppose now that (G, H_1, H_2) is a Sunada triple. Then for each $x \in G$, we have

$$\#([x] \cap H_1) = \#([x] \cap H_2) \quad (9)$$

and summing (9) over all conjugacy classes, we find that $|H_1| = |H_2|$. Then for each $x \in G$, we get

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{H_1}^G(x)) = \frac{|C(x)|}{|H_1|} \cdot \#([x] \cap H_1) \quad (10)$$

$$= \frac{|C(x)|}{|H_2|} \cdot \#([x] \cap H_2) \quad (11)$$

$$= \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{H_2}^G(x)). \quad (12)$$

Two representations whose characters are equal are equivalent, so we can conclude that $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent.

Conversely, if $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent, then they must have the same dimension, so $|H_1| = |H_2|$, and again we can use (8) to get

$$\#([x] \cap H_1) = \frac{|H_1|}{|C(x)|} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{H_1}^G) \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{|H_2|}{|C(x)|} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{H_2}^G) \quad (14)$$

$$= \#([x] \cap H_2) \quad (15)$$

for each $x \in G$. □

4 Statement of the Theorem

Here is the theorem whose converse we propose to explore:

Theorem 2 *Let Γ be a finite, regular, undirected graph. Let G be a group of automorphisms of Γ , acting with no fixed vertices, and let H_1 and H_2 be subgroups of G .*

If (G, H_1, H_2) is a Sunada triple, or, equivalently, if $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent, then the graphs $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ are isospectral.

We will recount two proofs of this theorem – one using the representation-theory condition and one using the conjugacy-class condition – and consider the extent to which each proof is convertible.

5 Pesce’s proof and a converse

The first proof is slightly adapted from a paper ([2]) by Hubert Pesce, and uses the language of representations.

Proof For notational convenience, denote $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ by Γ_1 and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ by Γ_2 .

We first observe that if λ is an eigenvalue of the adjacency operator on any quotient $H \backslash \Gamma$ of Γ by an automorphism subgroup H , then λ is also an eigenvalue of the adjacency operator on Γ . This is because any λ -eigenfunction on $H \backslash \Gamma$ lifts to a λ -eigenfunction on Γ .

It follows that the spectra of Γ_1 and Γ_2 are both subsets of the spectrum of Γ . To show that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are isospectral, we need only show that for each eigenvalue λ of Γ , the dimensions of the λ -eigenspaces on Γ_1 and Γ_2 are equal.

We have a natural representation ρ_G of G on $\mathbb{C}^{V(\Gamma)}$ by

$$[\rho_G(g)\varphi](v) = \varphi(g^{-1}v) \tag{16}$$

for $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{V(\Gamma)}$. Since each $g \in G$ preserves adjacencies in Γ , each λ -eigenspace is invariant under the representation ρ_G . Thus for each eigenvalue λ , we have a subrepresentation ρ_G^λ of G on the λ -eigenspace on Γ . The degree of this representation is just the dimension of the λ -eigenspace on Γ .

Now consider a λ -eigenspace on Γ_1 . Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_t$ be a basis for this space and consider the lifts $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ of these basis functions to Γ . The functions $\tilde{\varphi}_i$ remain linearly independent, they remain λ -eigenfunctions, and each one is invariant under $\text{Res}_{H_1}^G \rho_G^\lambda$. Conversely, any λ -eigenfunction on Γ that’s invariant under $\text{Res}_{H_1}^G \rho_G^\lambda$ projects to a λ -eigenfunction on $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$.

From this, we conclude that the dimension of the λ -eigenspace on Γ_1 is in fact equal to the dimension of that part of the λ -eigenspace on Γ left fixed by $\text{Res}_{H_1}^G \rho_G^\lambda$. This latter number is given by $[1_{H_1} : \text{Res}_{H_1}^G \rho_G^\lambda]$, where 1_{H_1} is the

trivial representation on H_1 . Similarly, the dimension of the λ -eigenspace on Γ_2 is equal to $[1_{H_2} : \text{Res}_{H_2}^G \rho_G^\lambda]$.

By Frobenius reciprocity ([4]), we have

$$[1_{H_i} : \text{Res}_{H_i}^G \rho_G^\lambda] = [\text{Ind}_{H_i}^G 1_{H_i} : \rho_G^\lambda] \quad (17)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. By our earlier remark, $\text{Ind}_{H_i}^G 1_{H_i}$ is just our quasi-regular representation $\pi_{H_i}^G$, so we conclude that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{dimension of} \\ \lambda\text{-eigenspace on } \Gamma_i \end{array} \right) = [\pi_{H_i}^G : \rho_G^\lambda] \quad (18)$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

Now by hypothesis, $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent, so their inner products with ρ_G^λ must be equal. Thus we have what we want: for each λ in the spectrum of Γ , the dimensions of the λ -eigenspaces on Γ_1 and Γ_2 are equal. The two quotient graphs are isospectral. \square

Now suppose we have a regular, undirected graph Γ , a freely-acting group of automorphisms G of Γ , and two subgroups H_1 and H_2 of G such that the quotient graphs $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ are isospectral. What can we say about H_1 and H_2 ? In particular, are $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ necessarily equivalent?

According to proof above, if the graphs $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ are isospectral, then we get

$$[\pi_{H_1}^G : \rho_G^{\lambda_i}] = [\pi_{H_2}^G : \rho_G^{\lambda_i}] \quad (19)$$

for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Let $\hat{G} = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r\}$ be a complete set of irreducible representations of G . Then for each i , we get the decomposition

$$[\pi_{H_1}^G : \rho_G^{\lambda_i}] = \sum_{\sigma_j \in \hat{G}} [\sigma_j : \pi_{H_1}^G] [\sigma_j : \rho_G^{\lambda_i}] \quad (20)$$

and similarly for $\pi_{H_2}^G$.

We combine (19) and (20) to get a system of linear equations relating the numbers $[\sigma_j : \pi_{H_1}^G]$ and $[\sigma_j : \pi_{H_2}^G]$. Specifically, we find that the isospectrality of $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ implies that

$$\begin{pmatrix} [\sigma_1 : \rho_G^{\lambda_1}] & [\sigma_2 : \rho_G^{\lambda_1}] & \cdots & [\sigma_r : \rho_G^{\lambda_1}] \\ [\sigma_1 : \rho_G^{\lambda_2}] & [\sigma_2 : \rho_G^{\lambda_2}] & \cdots & [\sigma_r : \rho_G^{\lambda_2}] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [\sigma_1 : \rho_G^{\lambda_N}] & [\sigma_2 : \rho_G^{\lambda_N}] & \cdots & [\sigma_r : \rho_G^{\lambda_N}] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [\sigma_1 : \pi_{H_1}^G] - [\sigma_1 : \pi_{H_2}^G] \\ [\sigma_2 : \pi_{H_1}^G] - [\sigma_2 : \pi_{H_2}^G] \\ \vdots \\ [\sigma_r : \pi_{H_1}^G] - [\sigma_r : \pi_{H_2}^G] \end{pmatrix} \quad (21)$$

equals the zero matrix.

Since $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent exactly when the column vector in (21) is 0, we have identified a condition under which the isospectrality of $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ will guarantee that $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent. We have

Theorem 3 *Let Γ be a finite, undirected, regular graph, G a group of freely-acting automorphisms of Γ , and H_1 and H_2 subgroups of G such that $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ are isospectral. Let $\hat{G} = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r\}$ be the set of irreducible representations of G . If the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} [\sigma_1 : \rho_G^{\lambda_1}] & [\sigma_2 : \rho_G^{\lambda_1}] & \cdots & [\sigma_r : \rho_G^{\lambda_1}] \\ [\sigma_1 : \rho_G^{\lambda_2}] & [\sigma_2 : \rho_G^{\lambda_2}] & \cdots & [\sigma_r : \rho_G^{\lambda_2}] \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ [\sigma_1 : \rho_G^{\lambda_N}] & [\sigma_2 : \rho_G^{\lambda_N}] & \cdots & [\sigma_r : \rho_G^{\lambda_N}] \end{pmatrix}$$

has full rank, then $\pi_{H_1}^G$ and $\pi_{H_2}^G$ are equivalent.

We remark that the full-rank requirement is a condition on G and Γ only, so that if a group G and a graph Γ satisfy this condition, then every pair of isospectral quotients $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ (with H_1 and H_2 subgroups of G) must arise from a Sunada triple.

6 Brooks's proof and a converse

The second proof of Theorem 2, due to Robert Brooks, is more geometric in flavor, and stays well clear of any representation theory.

Before getting started, we'll need a few definitions.

An n -walk in a graph Γ is a sequence $v_0e_1v_1e_2v_2e_3\cdots e_nv_n$ of vertices v_i and edges e_j in Γ such that e_i is incident on v_{i-1} and v_i for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The n -walk is called *closed* if $v_0 = v_n$. It is called *non-backtracking* (abbreviated here as NBT) if $e_i \neq e_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

It is standard that the number of closed n -walks in a graph is equal to the n^{th} moment of the adjacency spectrum. Thus the adjacency spectrum of a graph and the numbers of closed n -walks (for n going from 0 to the number of vertices) determine one another.

A similar result holds for closed NBT walks.

Theorem 4 (See [3].) *Let Γ be a finite, undirected, regular graph. For each non-negative integer n , let D_n denote the total number of closed NBT n -walks in Γ . Then the adjacency spectrum of Γ determines the sequence D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots , and the numbers D_1, D_2, \dots, D_N determine the adjacency spectrum of Γ .*

Brooks ([1]) proved Theorem 2 by using the conjugacy-class version of the Sunada condition to count closed NBT n -walks. Here is an outline of the proof.

Proof Let G be a freely-acting group of automorphisms on a finite graph Γ , and let H be a subgroup of G .

Fix n and let B_n denote the set of all NBT n -walks in Γ whose endpoints differ by some element of G . It is not hard to see that

$$\# \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{closed NBT} \\ n\text{-walks in } H \backslash \Gamma \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{|H|} \cdot \# \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{walks in } B_n \text{ that project} \\ \text{to closed walks} \\ \text{in } H \backslash \Gamma \end{array} \right). \quad (22)$$

Thus the goal is to count the number of walks $\alpha \in B_n$ whose projections to $H \backslash \Gamma$ close up.

We partition B_n into G -orbits: $B_n = B_{n,1} \cup B_{n,2} \cup \cdots \cup B_{n,m}$, and select a representative walk α_i from each $B_{n,i}$. Each α_i determines a unique element g_{α_i} of G such that g_{α_i} takes the first vertex of α_i to the last vertex of α_i .

For an arbitrary $g \in G$, the walk $g(\alpha_i)$ projects to a closed walk in $H \setminus \Gamma$ if and only if $gg_{\alpha_i}g^{-1} \in H$. Thus the number of elements of $B_{n,i}$ that project to closed walks in $H \setminus \Gamma$ is $|C(g_{\alpha_i})| \cdot \#([g_{\alpha_i}] \cap H)$.

From this it follows that the total number of closed NBT n -walks in $H \setminus \Gamma$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_i |C(g_{\alpha_i})| \cdot \#([g_{\alpha_i}] \cap H). \quad (23)$$

Since this number depends on H only through the sizes of the intersections of H with the conjugacy classes of G , we have shown that two graphs $H_1 \setminus \Gamma$ and $H_2 \setminus \Gamma$ are isospectral if the numbers $\#(H_1 \cap [g])$ and $\#(H_2 \cap [g])$ in the Sunada condition are equal. \square

What happens if we read this second proof backward?

Suppose we have an isospectral pair $H_1 \setminus \Gamma$ and $H_2 \setminus \Gamma$ in the usual setting.

It is trivial that isospectrality implies $|H_1| = |H_2|$, so the Brooks proof tells us that

$$\sum_i |C(g_{\alpha_i})| \#([g_{\alpha_i}] \cap H_1) = \sum_i |C(g_{\alpha_i})| \#([g_{\alpha_i}] \cap H_2) \quad (24)$$

for each n , where the sum is taken over representatives α_i of the equivalence classes of NBT n -walks in Γ .

We rewrite the left-hand sum in (24) as a sum over conjugacy classes. We get

$$\sum_{[g] \subset G} \left(\sum_{g_{\alpha_i} \in [g]} |C(g_{\alpha_i})| \right) \#([g] \cap H_1) \quad (25)$$

where the outer sum runs through all the conjugacy classes in G . Now for each $g_{\alpha_i} \in [g]$, $|C(g_{\alpha_i})| = |C(g)|$, so the inner sum in (25) is just $|C(g)|$ times the number of g_{α_i} in $[g]$. We denote this number by $c(n, g)$ and rewrite equation 24 as

$$\sum_{[g] \subset G} c(n, g) |C(g)| \cdot \#([g] \cap H_1) = \sum_{[g] \subset G} c(n, g) |C(g)| \cdot \#([g] \cap H_2). \quad (26)$$

Once again, we have a system of linear equations. In this case, the numbers of interest are $\sharp([g] \cap H_1)$ and $\sharp([g] \cap H_2)$; if $\sharp([g] \cap H_1) = \sharp([g] \cap H_2)$ for each conjugacy class $[g] \subset G$, then (G, H_1, H_2) is a Sunada triple. Putting the system in matrix form, we have

Theorem 5 *Let Γ be a finite, undirected, regular graph, G a group of freely-acting automorphisms of Γ , and H_1 and H_2 subgroups of G such that $H_1 \backslash \Gamma$ and $H_2 \backslash \Gamma$ are isospectral.*

For each non-negative integer n and each $g \in G$, let $c(n, g)$ denote the number of equivalence classes of NBT n -walks in Γ whose associated group elements are conjugate to g . Let $[g_1], [g_2], \dots, [g_r]$ denote the conjugacy classes in G . If there is some matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c(n_1, g_1)|C(g_1)| & c(n_1, g_2)|C(g_2)| & \dots & c(n_1, g_r)|C(g_r)| \\ c(n_2, g_1)|C(g_1)| & c(n_2, g_2)|C(g_2)| & \dots & c(n_2, g_r)|C(g_r)| \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c(n_m, g_1)|C(g_1)| & c(n_m, g_2)|C(g_2)| & \dots & c(n_m, g_r)|C(g_r)| \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

with full rank, then (G, H_1, H_2) is a Sunada triple.

We remark that we can factor a diagonal matrix (with entries $|C(g_i)|$) out of matrix (27), so we are really looking for a full-rank matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} c(n_1, g_1) & c(n_1, g_2) & \dots & c(n_1, g_r) \\ c(n_2, g_1) & c(n_2, g_2) & \dots & c(n_2, g_r) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c(n_m, g_1) & c(n_m, g_2) & \dots & c(n_m, g_r) \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

References

- [1] Robert Brooks. Non-Sunada graphs. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 49(2):707–725, 1999.

- [2] Hubert Pesce. Variétés isospectrales et représentations de groupes. In Robert Brooks, Carolyn Gordon, and Peter Perry, editors, *Geometry of the Spectrum*, volume 173 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 231–240. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1994.
- [3] Gregory Quenell. Isospectrality conditions for regular graphs. Preprint, 1998.
- [4] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Linear Representations of Finite Groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.